

2023-02-01 TAC Minutes

Attendees & Representation (default sort: member first name)

TAC Members and Project representatives should mark their attendance below

Non-TAC project reps do not count towards meeting quorum

X = Present | P = Proxy (Indicate in the table with @name for @name)

Chairperson	Vice-Chair	Security Seat	5G-SBP
Jason Hunt	Catherine Lefevre	Amy Zwarico	Muddasar Ahmed

ONAP	OpenDaylight	Anuket	FD.io	
Catherine Lefevre	Robert Varga	Gergely Csatai	Dave Wallace	
ODIM	EMCO	XGVela	L3AF	Tungsten Fabric
Martin Halstead	Amar Kapadia	Qihui Zhao	Santhosh Fernandes	Nick Davey

Representing	Member		Representing	Member
AT&T	Catherine Lefevre		Nokia	Olaf Renner
China Mobile	vacant		Orange	Cedric Ollivier
China Telecom	vacant		Red Hat	Stephen Flaherty
Cisco	Frank Brockners		Tech Mahindra	vacant
Deutsche Telekom	Marc Fiedler		TELUS	Sana Tariq
Ericsson	Christian Olrog		Verizon	vacant
Google	vacant		Walmart	Santhosh Fernandes
Huawei	Huijun Yu		ZTE	ChangJin Wang
IBM	Jason Hunt (Chair)			

LF Staff: [Casey Cain](#) [LJ Illuzzi](#) [Ranny Haiby](#) [Sandra Jackson](#)

Community: [Timo Perala](#)

Agenda

- Start the Recording
- We will start by mentioning the project's Antitrust Policy, which you can find linked from the LF and project websites. The policy is important where multiple companies, including potential industry competitors, are participating in meetings. Please review and if you have any questions, please contact your company legal counsel. Members of the LF may contact Andrew Updegrove at the firm Gesmer Updegrove LLP, which provides legal counsel to the LF.
 - [Antitrust Policy](#)
- Roll Call
- Action Items Review
- Agenda Bashing
- General Topics
 - [Developer & Testing Forum February](#) [Casey Cain](#)
 - New Technology research [Ranny Haiby](#)
 - New space for collaboration - [2023 Research \(General\)](#)
 - Operations Survey [Casey Cain](#)
 - Review LFN Induction Process [Jason Hunt](#) [Casey Cain](#)
 - Security best practices review [Amy Zwarico](#)
- Any Other Topics

Minutes

Developer & Testing Forum

- Is it too soon? Feedback was presented to the program committee was asked if we should move the event to March?
 - [Gergely Csatari](#) We discussed this on our TSC and agreed to keep the original planned date. However it would have been nice to discuss this a bit earlier.
 - [Cedric Ollivier](#) I'd like to see more sessions and less "Plenary topics"

New Technology Research

- [Ranny Haiby](#) created a new space on the wiki for community collaboration:
 - <https://wiki.lfnetworking.org/x/xQPxB>
 - Ranny asked that the TAC review the topics provided and to add any topics that they believe we should be discussing.
 - [Gergely Csatari](#) What is the purpose
 - [Ranny Haiby](#) We were asked at the governing board to assess new technologies that the LFN should be collaborating with or expanding into.

Operations Survey

- [Casey Cain](#) discussed the Operations Survey and requested any feedback be submitted to him.
 - <https://linuxfoundation.surveymonkey.com/r/RN6HQYK>

LFN Induction Review

- [Casey Cain](#) [Jason Hunt](#) discussing the review of the induction process.
- [LFN Project Lifecycle](#)
 - [Jason Hunt](#) reviewed the criteria for LFN induction.
 - [Catherine Lefevre](#) We should keep overlap to a minimum.
 - [Jason Hunt](#) If we artificially limit the projects, we may miss something important. It is up to the companies supporting the LFN to decide who to support with resources.
 - [Casey Cain](#) noted that there has been a question about how resources are assigned to "new shiny objects" in the LFN without maintaining appropriate resources for projects that may have production dependencies.
 - [Frank Brockners](#) Perhaps we need to specify in the review process that we are happy to review projects that have overlap, but there should be a statement about any collaboration efforts.
 - Wording in the template should be updated to be clear what is and is NOT required. For example, not requiring information on how they fit in with other LFN project
 - suggest that make the template a Powerpoint, so not having to adapt the wiki template to a presentation
 - We should also review the Sandbox induction services.

Security Best Practices

- [Amy Zwarico](#) we should focus on software supply chain security.
 - There should be a focus on a few things in 2023
 - Software Bill of Materials (SBOM) should be a focus of all of our communities in 2023.
 - There should be a cadence of replacing 3rd party packages that have gone stale
 - SBOMs should also be signed to provide some level of authenticity (extra credit).

Action items

- ☐ Review and update Induction powerpoint presentation