08-31-2022 TSC Meeting Minutes

TSC Meeting Zoom link

Meeting Recording

Meeting Chat File

Attendees & Representation. Please add your name to the attendance table below.

Attendees	
Name	**Company**
Daniel Havey	Microsoft
Jason Niesz	Walmart
Charles Liu	Walmart

https://code.launchpad.net/~git-ubuntu-import/ubuntu/+source/systemd/+git/systemd/+ref/applied/ubuntu/bionic-devel

LF Staff: LJ Illuzzi David McBride

Agenda

- LF Antitrust Policy
- Meeting note taker
- Welcome to new attendees
- Release Management Consultation - Hour 2
- LFN 2023 Budget
- General Topics (cover as needed)
    - Use Cases
    - Roadmap
    - Project structure
        - Governance
        - Technical Steering Committee
- Review PRs

Minutes/Updates

Release Management Consultation -

Hour 2:

- Milestones – Checkpoints w/set of requirements
    - RM - must determine and report to TSC or delegate
    - Too many milestones == too much overhead
- Don't push documentation off to the end of the project
    - L3AFd follows OpenSSF best practices
        - More strict.
        - Every PR that affects docs has to come with the docs
        - So this cannot happen as long as we follow OpenSSF best practices.
        - Specify in release process.
    - L3AF does not have a Program Technical Lead (PTL)
        - Should the docs be amended to reflect the l3af process?
        - L3af only loosely fits into the framework
- lite deck?
    - This process is too heavy for L3aFp
    - There is no requirement to follow everything in the deck.
- 30 minutes left
    - Wait for last 30 minutes until the materials are available for consumption

- 2 hours in total are needed to complete the consultation/Training
- Hour 2: 08/31
- Community Release Manager
    - 1-2 people from community
- RM for LFN Projects - David McBride
    - Dedicated RM does not scale - No RM is chaos - Find a middle ground
    - Need - a stable, effective, repeatable process.
- Projects of projects - dependencies
- chat: looks like the current L3AF "release process" (such as it is) is documented at https://github.com/l3af-project/governance/blob/main/docs/RELEASE_PROCESS.md. I'm guessing there are gaps we will hear about in this presentation.
- L3AF is a project of projects: L3aF-arch, l3afd, epf program repo
- Interop
    - 1 proj w/mult releases: for eg: Linux/Windows.
- MUST adhere to release schedule
- L3AF project: New, release every 10-12 weeks
    - Currently no releases
- More mature - longer release periods
    - Linux kernel - 4 times a year
- Signoff process
    - TSC is not necessarily responsible for regular release signoff
        - The task may be delegated to another body
- Use the principle of minimum viable governance
- What about the PR around release?

Action Items

Future Agenda Items