

2022-06-08 TAC Minutes

Attendees & Representation (default sort: member first name)

TAC Members and Project representatives should mark their attendance below

Non-TAC project reps do not count towards meeting quorum

X = Present | P = Proxy (Indicate in the table with @name for @name)

Member	Representing		Member	Representing		Member	Representing	
TBD	ODIM (sandbox)		Dhananjay Pavgi	Tech Mahindra		Robert Varga	ODL (TAC)	
@Anil Guntupalli	Verizon		Frank Brockners	Cisco		Santhosh Fernandes	L3AF (sandbox)	
Amar Kapadia	EMCO (sandbox)		Jason Hunt	IBM			TF (incubation)	
Brian Freeman	AT&T		Kalyankumar Asangi	Huawei		Stephen Flaherty	Red Hat	
Gervais-Martial Nguoko								
Catherine Lefevre	ONAP (TAC)		Lingli Deng	China Mobile		Srinivasa Addepalli	Intel	
Proxy Timo Perala								
Cedric Ollivier	Orange		Marc Fiedler	Deutsche Telekom		Walter Kozlowski	Anuket (TAC)	
						Gergely Csatai		
						Lincoln Lavoie		
						Al Morton		
ChangJin Wang	ZTE		Nick Davey	Juniper		Xiaojun Xie	China Telecom	
Christian Olrog	Ericsson		Olaf Renner	Nokia		Qihui Zhao	XGVela (sandbox)	
Dave Wallace	FD.io (TAC)		Ramesh Nagarajan	Google		TBD	Walmart	
@Haseem Ahmed	Kratos			Kratos		Haseem Ahmed	Kratos	

LF Staff: Casey CainLJ Illuzzi Sandra Jackson Kenny Paul David McBride

Community:

Agenda

- Start the Recording
- We will start by mentioning the project's Antitrust Policy, which you can find linked from the LF and project websites. The policy is important where multiple companies, including potential industry competitors, are participating in meetings. Please review and if you have any questions, please contact your company legal counsel. Members of the LF may contact Andrew Updegrove at the firm Gesmer Updegrove LLP, which provides legal counsel to the LF.
 - Antitrust Policy
- Roll Call
- Action Items Review
- Agenda Bashing
- General Topics
 - Governing Board Followup
 - Release Management (David McBride)
 - Elections
- Any Other Topics

Minutes

Governing Board Followup

- Jason Hunt provided an update to the TAC about the discussions about the Board.
- Noted that there were a few questions for support from projects to the GB.
 - Jason Hunt Invites projects to come to the TAC to ask questions.
- Jason Hunt Noted that the EUAG has disbanded. Kenny Paul noted that the provisions for the EUAG are still there, but the attention is on 5G SBP.

Release Management

- David McBride presented an update on the Release Management discussion.
 - Some projects have dedicated release management while others have no support from the LF.

- It's not scalable for us to provide all projects with dedicated support from LF Release Management
- Some projects have failed because of their lack of a robust release management pipeline.
- Our goal is to provide a more advisory role to the projects to help them with their processes, but ultimately have the community drive the release process.
- In this way, we can provide direct counseling for all projects.
- We recommend the communities elect 2 (or more) release managers to help with the community with the release, it also provides a solution to vacations and departures to ensure the release process isn't interrupted.
- [David McBride](#) suggested that all new projects participate in release management consultation prior to Sandbox induction.
 - Prior to Incubation promotion projects should be able to show a documented release process and a history of following it.
 - This is to ensure that inducted projects do not fail due to the lack of release management, or a robust release process.
 - [Jason Hunt Casey Cain](#) This would require a vote of the TAC and is a good suggestion.
- ONAP and Anuket currently have a dedicated LF release manager.
 - It is more challenging to migrate to a community release manager.
 - Options are to migrate them for scale to community release management or not.
 - Anuket may have an easier time than the complex processes of ONAP.
 - [Timo Perala](#) It has been a challenge for ONAP to find a community release manager.
 - [Dave Wallace](#) we tried a few different processes in FD.io and currently have settled on 2 community release managers.
 - We also automate our release notes and other tasks that simplify the release management duties.
 - [David McBride](#) Automation in Jira could also help ease the tasks for the RM.
 - [Timo Perala](#) It may be harder for larger projects to find a dedicated RM.
 - [Casey Cain](#) noted that larger projects are likely to have a stronger financial interest from their participating organizations to see the success of projects that they are building commercial dependencies on. It makes sense for them to be willing to dedicate a community release management resource to protect their investment. Sometimes that is easier than in smaller projects when they are still exploring commercial dependence.
- [David McBride](#) suggested that we start with a trial project to test the efficacy of this process.
 - [Casey Cain](#) noted that Tungsten and XGVela are both good candidates
 - Tungsten has not yet been able to identify a long term release manager
 - XGVela has found 2 dedicated release managers and is hoping to participate in some open-source best practices training.

Elections

- [Jason Hunt](#) There are 2 new electable seats here on the Technical Advisory Council. These seats are at the discretion of the TAC and are open to a wide audience.
 - [Jason Hunt](#) One idea is to have a seat dedicated to Security.
 - [Dave Wallace](#) Suggested a diversity seat or someone who can focus on helping projects mature.
 - [Jason Hunt](#) It could be beneficial to have a 5G SBP representative at the TAC.
 - [LJ Illuzzi Casey Cain](#) Could be a good idea to have a dynamic seat to focus on the 'hot' focus of the broader LFN direction.
- Reminder that the TAC that the self-nomination period is open for the Chair and Vice-Chairperson.
 - [Jason Hunt](#) noted that it makes sense for others to step up and take a more active role in the TAC leadership. We encourage others to participate.

Action items

