

# 2020-10-08 - [CNTT - RA2] - Meeting Agenda and Minutes

## Attendees:

No need to add, this will be done after the meeting.

Name
Pankaj Goyal
Olivier Smith
Trevor Cooper
Peter Woerndle
Selcuk Duman
Tom Kivlin
Riccardo Gasparetto Stori (vf)
Taylor Carpenter
Michael Pedersen
Lucina Stricko

## Agenda and Minutes:

- Antitrust notices
  - [Linux Foundation Anti-Trust Policy Notice](#)
  - [GSMA Anti-Trust Policy Notice](#)
- Walk-in items
  - [PR1872 / PR1912](#): need to reach consensus, suggest we use the CNTT process: <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/blob/master/doc/gov/chapters/chapter05.md>
  - Discuss when more members are present or even have a special meeting for the same
- Project review
  - <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/projects/10>
    - **Please take the issue without assignee and self assign them**
- AOB
  - Discussion about whether workload specification should be part of RA2 or not
    - Current position is that all test cases (workload and platform) are defined in RC2, and that all RC2 test cases are based on RA2 requirements
    - Reflected in issue/1496 and others
    - Further detail on how far we go in specifying workload requirements in RA2 specification needs to be tested but the bottom line is we need to define them *somewhere*
    - For now, continue as we are
  - vF2F - CNTT/TBC - Gathering and Validating CNF Requirements to Drive RA2 Specification
    - 90 min on Thursday October 15 14:30 to 16:00 UTC
    - The VF2F Calendar is at <https://teamup.com/ksgw6qzqcmg9zbzsfq>
- Permanent FYI
  - Please review Meld Zone for info about CNTT/OPNFV merger: [Meld Zone](#)
    - Please vote on the name of the new organisation
  - Regular meeting looking to collate CNF requirements from multiple sources - please feel free to join and contribute:
    - Meeting notes [https://docs.google.com/document/d/1eStYtBloAvz8kh8k2NtvTnjfKZ\\_6km9HVzCEBt4s0tY/edit#](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1eStYtBloAvz8kh8k2NtvTnjfKZ_6km9HVzCEBt4s0tY/edit#)
    - Working spreadsheet [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1TxrCDKQEzca\\_snulXMAcz4GCHj9b99-Obnhs20rCB0](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1TxrCDKQEzca_snulXMAcz4GCHj9b99-Obnhs20rCB0)
    - Approach:
      - Determine business requirements - including non-functional
      - Determine functional technical requirements
      - Determine K8s / cloud native implementation options and best practices
      - Highlight tradeoffs, conflicts, gaps
      - Examine applications or write new PoC (eg. existing NFs and their components)
      - Create or find tests that check applications against the requirements
- Actions/Next steps
  - Frederick to present a quick NSM intro in one of the future meetings
  - Network specification - [Tom Kivlin](#) proposal to replace table 4-5 with a diagram that shows the architecture, with an associated table showing which part of the architecture deals with each requirement. e.g. I don't think it's relevant that the multiplexer has to provide Network Policies as existing CNI plugins that the multiplexer calls can (and perhaps should) deal with that. (Do we need a "Unix" principle - "do one thing, well"?)
    - [Tom Kivlin](#) to create an issue on the backlog

# Meeting Recording

Not this week, sorry.