

2020-07-15 TAC Minutes

Attendees & Representation (default sort: member first name)

TAC Members and Project representatives should mark their attendance below

Non-TAC project reps do not count towards meeting quorum

X = Present | P = Proxy (Indicate in the table with @name for @name)

Member	Representing		Member	Representing		Member	Representing	
Abhijit Kumbhare	ODL	X	Ed Warnicke	FD.io	X	Prabhjot Singh Sethi	TF (incubation)	
Al Morton	OPNFV	X	@Eyal Felstaine	Amdocs		Ranny Haiby	Samsung	X
@Anil Guntupalli	Verizon		Frank Brockners	Cisco		Timothy Verrall	Intel	X
Brian Freeman	AT&T	X	Jason Hunt	IBM	P	tom nadeau	Red Hat	
Catherine Lefevre	ONAP	X	Zygmunt Lozinski					
			Lingli Deng	China Mobile		TBD	Juniper	
ChangJin Wang	ZTE	X	Marc Fiedler	Deutsche Telekom	X	Kalyankumar Asangi	Huawei	X
Christian Olrog	Ericsson	X	Mike Lazar	OPX (sandbox)		XiaoJun Xie	China Telecom	
Davide Cherubini	Vodafone		Morgan Richomme	Orange				
@David Sauvageau	Bell Canada		Oguzhan Ceylan	Türk Telekom				
Dhananjay Pavgi	Tech Mahindra		Olaf Renner	Nokia	X	TBD	VMware	

LF Staff: Casey Cain Kenny Paul Jim Baker Brandon Wick LJ Illuzzi

Others: Qihui Zhao Zhiqiang Yu Scot Steele Qiao Fu @Xuxia Zhong @Amit Tiwari Kalyankumar Asangi Gergely Csatiari

Agenda

- Start the Recording
- Show the [Antitrust Policy](#)
- Roll Call
- Action Items Review
- Agenda Bashing
- General Topics
 - Encourage platform maturity, stability, modularity, and robustness - Discussion
 - Introduction to XGVela - [Qihui Zhao](#)
 - CNTT future mode of operations - Options discussion [Scot Steele](#)
- Any Other Topics

Minutes

Discussion - Enabling platform maturity, stability, modularity, and robustness

- A SPC KPI exists that asked that we measure the maturity of all the LFN projects
- [Catherine Lefevre](#) Jason/Chaker has shared the project lifecycle with the TAC that includes maturity assessments - perhaps a year ago - review and revitalize
 - Looking to build common criteria cross-projects and have the LFN TAC make the assessment during project reviews
- [Brian Freeman](#) Should have non-ONAP folks review the SP3 approach from ONAP
- [Ed Warnicke](#) Security surface areas are totally different between ONAP and FD.io by virtue of where they reside in the stack - so we need project specific objectives for these factors
- [Ed Warnicke](#) Believes that the Board should not be implementing KPIs for Projects, just the LFN because KPIs do not provide value to shipping a product.
- [Brian Freeman](#) KPIs cannot be shared across projects, categories might be the same, but metrics may be different
 - Ask the projects what their metrics are for those categories AND determine which ones make sense for the project
- **Next steps:** Launch email discussion LFN PMs

Introduction to XGVela

- [Qihui Zhao](#) presented an overview of xGVela - see also <https://xgvela.org/> and <https://github.com/XGVela>
- Please join their mailing lists and participate in their meetings.

- [XGVela LFN TAC Meeting_20200714.pdf](#)

Discussion - CNTT future mode of operations

- [Scot Steele](#) Reviewed the status of CNTT and the shift away from a task-force to some more sustainable structure and decision making process
 - Option 1: CNTT become a LFN project
 - Option 2: CNTT as a committee of the LFN GB
 - Option 3: Merge CNTT into OPNFV
- [Ed Warnicke](#) Needs of an SDO are different than an open source project - eg. IP handling, ratification of standard

Action items

- ☑ Casey Cain Launch email to discuss the assessment of project maturity. 17 Jul 2020
- ☑ Qihui Zhao upload the slides presented to these minutes 16 Jul 2020