2020-07-15 TAC Minutes

Attendees & Representation (default sort: member first name)

TAC Members and Project representatives should mark their attendance below
Non-TAC project reps do not count towards meeting quorum

X = Present | P = Proxy (Indicate in the table with @name for @name

Member	Representing		Member	Representing		Member	Representing	
Abhijit Kumbhare	**ODL**	X	Ed Warnicke	**FD.io**	X	Prabhjot Singh Sethi	**TF (incubation)**	
Al Morton	**OPNFV**	X	@Eyal Felstaine	Amdocs		Ranny Haiby	Samsung	X
@Anil Guntupalli	Verizon		Frank Brockners	Cisco		Timothy Verrall	Intel	X
Brian Freeman	AT&T	X	Jason Hunt Zygmunt Lozinski	IBM	P	tom nadeau	Red Hat	
Catherine Lefevre	**ONAP**	X	Lingli Deng	China Mobile		TBD	Juniper	
ChangJin Wang	ZTE	X	Marc Fiedler	Deutsche Telekom	X	Kalyankumar Asangi	Huawei	X
Christian Olrog	Ericsson	X	Mike Lazar	**OPX (sandbox)**		Xiaojun Xie	China Telecom	
Davide Cherubini	Vodafone		Morgan Richomme	Orange				
@David Sauvageau	Bell Canada		Oguzhan Ceylan	Türk Telekom				
Dhananjay Pavgi	Tech Mahindra		Olaf Renner	Nokia	X	TBD	VMware	

LF Staff: Casey Cain Kenny Paul Jim Baker Brandon Wick LJ Illuzzi

Others: Qihui Zhao Zhiqiang Yu Scot Steele Qiao Fu @Xuxia Zhong @Amit Tiwari Kalyankumar Asangi Gergely Csatari

Agenda

- Start the Recording
- Show the Antitrust Policy
- Roll Call
- Action Items Review
- Agenda Bashing
- General Topics
  - Encourage platform maturity, stability, modularity, and robustness - Discussion
  - Introduction to XGVela - Qihui Zhao
  - CNTT future mode of operations - Options discussion Scot Steele
- Any Other Topics

Minutes

Discussion - Enabling platform maturity, stability, modularity, and robustness

- A SPC KPI exists that asked that we measure the maturity of all the LFN projects
- Catherine Lefevre Jason/Chaker has shared the project lifecycle with the TAC that includes maturity assessments - perhaps a year ago - review and revitalize
  - Looking to build common criteria cross-projects and have the LFN TAC make the assessment during project reviews
- Brian Freeman Should have non-ONAP folks review the SP3 approach from ONAP
- Ed Warnicke Security surface areas are totally different between ONAP and FD.io by virtue of where they reside in the stack - so we need project specific objectives for these factors
- Ed Warnicke Believes that the Board should not be implementing KPIs for Projects, just the LFN because KPIs do no provide value to shipping a product.
- Brian Freeman KPIs cannot be shared across projects, categories might be the same, but metrics may be different
  - Ask the projects what their metrics are for those categories AND determine which ones make sense for the project
- **Next steps:** Launch email discussion LFN PMs

Introduction to XGVela

- Qihui Zhao presented an overview of xGVela - see also https://xgvela.org/ and https://github.com/XGVela
- Please join their mailing lists and participate in their meetings.

- [XGVela LFN TAC Meeting_20200714.pdf](#)

Discussion - CNTT future mode of operations

- Scot Steele Reviewed the status of CNTT and the shift away from a task-force to some more sustainable structure and decision making process
  - Option 1: CNTT become a LFN project
  - Option 2: CNTT as a committee of the LFN GB
  - Option 3: Merge CNTT into OPNFV
- Ed Warnicke Needs of an SDO are different than an open source project - eg. IP handling, ratification of standard

Action items

- ☑ Casey Cain Launch email to discuss the assessment of project maturity. 17 Jul 2020

- ☑ Qihui Zhao upload the slides presented to these minutes 16 Jul 2020