

2020 June vDTF ONAP Requirements Traceability: Initial Request through TSC Approval

Open discussion and brainstorming on how to better align the requirements pipeline for both functional and non-functional requirements

Attendees: [Alla Goldner](#), @Andrea Visnyei, [Atsushi Makita](#), @Bartosz Gorski, [Benjamin Cheung](#), [Bill Mulligan](#), [Catherine Lefevre](#), [Ciaran Johnston](#), @John Keeney, [Kamel Idir](#), [Ken KANISHIMA](#), [Liam Fallon](#), [Morgan Richomme](#), [Mukesh Paliwal](#), [Pamela Dragosh](#), [Pawel Pawlak](#), [yellela nagaphanindra](#), [Rannay Haiby](#), [Seshu Kumar Mudiganti](#), [Scott Blandford](#), [Sithara Nambiar](#), [Sonia Sangari](#), [Thomas Kulik](#), [Timo Perala](#), @Toine Siebelink, [Vijay Venkatesh Kumar](#), [Vimal Begwani](#), [Xin Miao](#)

Recording

Notes

- multiple sources of requirements
- [Alla Goldner](#) routing things via Requirements subcommittee could result with duplicate work
- [Pamela Dragosh](#) most control-loop requirements were defined in the prior release
- [Scott Blandford](#) requirements + arch subc process could be adopted by other committees
- [Benjamin Cheung](#) working on arch process weekly - <https://wiki.onap.org/x/ApW4B>, Way of Working <https://wiki.onap.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=79204390>
- [Alla Goldner](#) do we limit the number of input sources?
- [Scott Blandford](#) is requirements sub a funnel or are there processes that are always applied?
- [Vimal Begwani](#) aggregation point only?
- requirements sub currently does not set prioritization.
- [Pamela Dragosh](#) the control-loop sub was set up to align projects, but seeing control-loop work that is occurring without participation in the subcommittee
- [Kenny Paul](#) subcommittees can make recommendations on priorities to TSC
- [Catherine Lefevre](#) the requirements subcommittee become the ONAP product owner?
- [Pawel Pawlak](#) new seccom requirements could go through requirements for alignment, best-practices once adopted should not be re-submitted for each release
- [Seshu Kumar Mudiganti](#) technical debt can become a requirement for the next release - may impact multiple projects. as long as internal change w/out API impact not need to route to req sub
- Consensus:
 - any requirements- regardless of source- should go through the requirements subcommittee.
 - and euag submits requirements to req. sub where they are vetted
 - committee consolidates backlog
 - committee should make prioritization recommendations to the TSC
- Enhance the mission of the Requirements Subcommittee:
 - Act as the ONAP Product Owners
 - Recommend Prioritization to the TSC
 - Create the consolidated ONAP Backlog coming from the different streamlines (outside/internal + EAUG)
 - As an example, EUAG/SECCOM/Control Loops Req Subcommittee prioritised (Architecture Review if required) TSC

Actions

- ☐ [Alla Goldner](#) lead a "recharter" of Requirements sub to align with the new proposed mission. **09 Jul 2020**