

2020-05-27 - [CNTT - RM Workstream Master] Agenda and Meeting Minutes

Attendees:

Please add your name in here:

- [Pankaj Goyal](#) (AT&T)
- [Walter Kozlowski](#) (telstra)
- [Gergely Csatai](#) (Nokia)
- [Ulrich Kleber](#) (Huawei)
- [Tom Van Pelt](#) (GSMA)
- [Toshiyasu Wakayama](#) (KDDI)

Special Notes:

- Given the limited time available, we will focus on identifying issues/actions/next steps, but **not solving them right now**
- **Weekly RM meetings are intended to**
 - Identify owners for new Issues
 - Track open Issues
 - Address technical issues that cannot be resolved online (i.e. resolve stalls)

Agenda:

- [Linux Foundation Anti-Trust Policy Notice](#)
- [GSMA Anti-Trust Policy Notice](#)
- Agenda bashing
- **Walk in items**
 - [Ch8.2](#) RA1 Gaps are not related to RA1, but RM. The proposal is to move them to the RM
 - [Walter Kozlowski](#) to create an issue against RM to move the Gaps to the RM
- **Backlog issue review for Baraque**
 - Issue <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/issues/1598> asks us to review the backlog to promote issues for Baraque (by removing the backlog label and assigning the M3 milestone to them)
 - As a review, the priorities for Baraque are here [CNTT Baraque#ReferenceModel](#) and repeated below (H: high, M: medium, L: Low)
 - **H:** Better characterization of Networking and Storage
 - **H:** Technically Make sure RM supports both Containers as well as VMs
 - **M:** Data-center tier services and how to integrate them within RM
 - **H:** NFG: Add Hw Acceleration Capabilities (including SmartNIC)
 - Proposal:
 - Change the priority of "NFG: Add Hw Acceleration Capabilities (including SmartNIC)" to H
 - [Gergely Csatai](#) to update [CNTT Baraque#ReferenceModel](#)
 - Additionally, document clean-up issues are also considered for inclusion.
 - The following issues are proposed for addressing in the Baraque release
 1. [\[RM Ch01\] Language](#) #1542
 2. [\[WSRM Ch08\] Chapter 8 references and images are not consistent](#) #1439
 3. [\[WSRM Chx05\] RM_chap5_fig_5_3_SW_profile.png is redundant](#) #1421
 4. [\[RM, RAs\] Harmonisation of VNF & CNF in CNTT](#) #1359
 5. [\[Tech Approach\]- Fix Figure 1-3: Network Layering](#) #1321
 6. [\[RM CH09\] 9.1 Content](#) #1251
 7. [\[RM Ch04 & Ch05\] Consistency](#) #816
 8. [\[RM Ch04\] Virtual network specifications need rework](#) #587
 9. [\[RM Ch04\] Disaggregate the Storage Extensions Matrix](#) #308
 10. [\[RM Ch03\] Intra networks](#) #195
 11. [\[RM Ch05\] Storage part to be reviewed](#) #143
 12. [\[RM Ch05\] 5.1.4 Security](#) #1583
 13. [\[RM Ch04\] Section 4.2.6 Cloud Infrastructure Profile Performance Measurement Mapping](#) #1582
 14. [\[RM Appendix-A\] SR-IOV writeup](#) #1306
 15. [\[RM CH04\] Table 4-24 doe not map to the acceleration extensions](#) #962
 16. [\[RM CH04\] Table 4-24](#) #958
 17. [\[RM CH04\] Table 4-24](#) #957
 18. [\[RM Appendix-A\] \[Clarifying Transitional Plan for VNF Design\]](#) #678 - (review and see if current VNF evolution framework + exceptions framework cover the issue.)
 19. [\[RM CH04\] Information about how to incorporate NFVI acceleration technology](#) #155 - (review and see if current VNF evolution framework + exceptions framework cover the issue.)
 20. [\[RM Ch10\] Gap: Need Artifact to Deliver CNTT Params](#) #255
 - We checked the issues in the meeting until this point
 - Propose to not address during Baraque
 1. [\[RM Ch 9\] OM&A requirements](#) #663
 2. [\[RM Ops \] Ch09 LCM : Define of Generic installer](#) #586

3. [\[RM Ch09\] More Operation and LCM Requirement #365](#)
 - Propose to close due to age, duplication, and/or changes in perspective
 1. [\[RM Appendix A\] Text on decoupling app from infra #790](#) (issue already appears addressed via other PRs)
 2. [\[RM\] Add Storage intensive Profile #250](#) (request is speculative, and demand has not yet arisen directly)
 3. [\[RM Ch03\] Bonding, ACP, redundancy need to be defined #183](#) (Not an RM-level issue?)
 4. [\[RM Ch08\] Include VNF Requirements and Analysis #163](#) (Seems to be RI/RC-level content)
 5. [\[RM Ch08\] Assess Trace-ability and Backward Compatibility Test Considerations #216](#) (should tracing be done solely from RI/RC perspective?)
 6. [\[RM Ch07\] More Security requirements #366](#) (Platform vs. workload security requirements have been addressed in other PRs)

Actions:

- [Walter Kozlowski](#) to create an issue against RM to move the Gaps to the RM
- [Gergely Csatari](#) to update [CNTT Baraque#ReferenceModel](#)

Minutes:

- Embedded to the agenda