

2020-03-19 - [CNTT - RA2] - Meeting Agenda and Minutes

- [Attendees:](#)
- [Agenda and Minutes:](#)

Attendees:

Please add your name in here:

- [Peter Woerndle](#) (Ericsson)
- [Gergely Csatari](#) (Nokia)
- [Ulrich Kleber](#) (Huawei)
- [Mark Shostak](#)
- [Pankaj Goyal](#) (AT&T)
- [Rabi Abdel](#) (Vodafone)
- [ramki krishnan](#) (VMware)
- [Saad Ullah Sheikh](#) (STC)
- [Toshiyasu Wakayama](#) (KDDI)
- [Jussi Nummelin](#) (Mirantis)

Agenda and Minutes:

- Antitrust notices
 - [Linux Foundation Anti-Trust Policy Notice](#)
 - [GSMA Anti-Trust Policy Notice](#)
- Walk-in items:
 - Issue 1112 (about CNI/networking solutions) - got me ([Tom Kivlin](#)) thinking about the flow of the document and I have proposed a "principle" or approach: <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/issues/1112#issuecomment-601162790>
 - Agreed to follow the approach suggested
 - [Gergely Csatari](#) to create an issue to implement the approach with a note that in some chapter we need to define that CNI should be part of the architecture at least for the primary interface.
 - Discuss entries in table 4.5 which don't have a requirement mapping to req nos. - https://cntt-n.github.io/CNTT/doc/ref_arch/kubernetes/chapters/chapter04.html - [ramki krishnan](#)
 - Cluster wide IP address management
 - It is a good thing to be able to do, but should not be mandated
 - Change it to cluster wide coordination of IP address assignment
 - Add it as a requirement
 - Service based discovery of all provisioned interfaces
 - Capability to use any interfaces with a Kubernetes Service if the interface is designed to work with Kubernetes Services
 - Add it as a requirement
 - [Gergely Csatari](#) create an issue about this
 - We should investigate if we would like to enforce Kubernetes Ingress and Egress Policies
 - [Gergely Csatari](#) to create an issue about this
 - We should investigate if we would like to use Kubernetes Load Balancing
 - [Gergely Csatari](#) to create an issue about this
- Agenda:
 - Project Review: <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/projects/10>
 - <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/pull/1185>
 - We agreed, that it is okay to remove the packaging requirement
 - <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/pull/1247>
 - It was already agreed, that LCM of the Kubernetes cluster is out of scope
 - <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/issues/950>
 - [Peter Woerndle](#) to send links to vendor specification when a specific host OS is requested
 - It was agreed to add a statement that the infrastructure should not require any specific OS in the container base image
 - <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/issues/1004>
 - The question of [user-0322e](#) was answered
 - <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/issues/1279>
 - [Gergely Csatari](#) to react to this
- AOB
 - N/A