

2020-02-05 - [CNTT - RM Workstream Master] Agenda and Meeting Minutes

Attendees:

Please add your name in here:

1. [Kelvin Edmison](#) (Nokia)
2. [Pankaj Goyal](#) (AT&T)
3. [Mark Shostak](#)
4. [Al Morton](#) (AT&T)
5. [Rabi Abdel](#) (VF)
6. [Tomas Fredberg](#) (Ericsson)
7. [Ulrich Kleber](#) (Huawei)
8. [Karine Sevilla](#) (Orange)
9. [Trevor Cooper](#) (Intel)
10. [Jisu Park](#) (GSMA)
11. [Toshiyasu Wakayama](#) (KDDI)
12. [Ahmed El Sawaf](#) (STC)

Special Notes:

- **Each of the three RM sections will get 20 minutes**
- Given the limited time available, we will focus on identifying issues/actions/next steps, but **not solving them right now**
- **Weekly RM meetings are intended to**
 - Identify owners for new Issues
 - Track open Issues
 - Address technical issues that cannot be resolved online (i.e. resolve stalls)

Agenda:

- [Linux Foundation Anti-Trust Policy Notice](#)
- [GSMA Anti-Trust Policy Notice](#)
- Agenda bashing
- Review Baldy release priorities
 - <https://wiki.lfnetworking.org/display/LN/CNTT+Baldy>
 - identify owners for RM-related work items
- **RM Core (status) (Kelvin)**
 - Define Backward Compatibility for CNTT
 - Follow-up on any decisions at TSC level
 - <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/issues/1008>
 - VNF Evolution Strategy
 - <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/issues/1007>
 - CNTT Networking / Generic Fabric Model
 - read-out of meetings in past week
 - <https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/issues/960>
- **RM Ops (status) (Ahmed)**
 - Issues
- **RM Comp (status) (Victor)**
 - TBD
- **Open questions**
 - TBD
- New Business

Actions:

- General
☐ None at this time
- Core
☐ None at this time
- Ops
☐ None at this time
- Comp
☐ None at this time

Minutes:

- TSC guidance given on backwards compatibility: up to 3 releases, should cover issue
- Networking/Generic Fabric meeting readout
 - RM has many gaps
 - Need to start with creating normalized terminology
 - need to define gaps and high-level architecture to be included
 - conclusion: have 2 parallel track
 - short-term focused on baldy
 - long-term focused on making it clear how SDN can fit into the reference model
- open discussion on short-term Baldy network deliverables
 - focus on requirements of the workloads for networking (e.g. gaps in workload characterization in chapter 2?)
 - use issue 960 for the discussion
 - create a separate issue for any long-term networking deliverables