

# 2019-12-04 Meeting notes

## Date

04 Dec 2019

## Attendees

LF Staff: [Jim Baker](#) [Kenny Paul](#) [Casey Cain](#)

Committee Members: [VM \(Vicky\) Brasseur](#) [Morgan Richomme](#) [Catherine Lefevre](#) [Davide Cherubini](#) [Ranny Haiby](#) [Jason Hunt](#) [Olaf Renner](#) [Mark Beierl](#) [Brian Freeman](#) [Ed Warnicke](#) [Frank Brockners](#) [Bin Hu](#) [Abhijit Kumbhare](#)

Proxies: [Chaker Al-Hakim](#)

Guests: [Prabhjot Singh Sethi](#) (TF), [Mike Lazar](#) (OPX)

## Agenda

- Start the Recording
- [Antitrust Policy](#)
- Agenda Bashing (Roll Call, Action Items (5 minutes))
- General Topics
  - Discuss Project Retirement guidance - [Chaker Al-Hakim](#)
  - Review the [Project Health Review Page](#) & Template - [Jason Hunt](#)
  - Discuss [draft of TAC Chair role description](#) - [Jason Hunt](#)
  - Technical Whitepaper Update - [Ranny Haiby](#)
  - Action Item Review

## Minutes

- **Project Retirement Guidance [Chaker Al-Hakim](#)**
  - Focus on ONAP - not LFN wide yet... outcome: document the framework for using components and EOL
  - How to retire a project that has inter-dependencies and the project loses support from the community?
  - ODL experience: acknowledge the risk when first using the component and identify a method to introduce alternative committers if needed.
  - Project using the component needs to have the contingency plan should the component support get reduced/dropped
  - [Catherine Lefevre](#) Need to consider cross-dependencies between LFN projects i.e. ODL & ONAP; ONAP VVP/VNFSDK and OVP /CNTT etc.
    - BTW similar comment considering cross-LFN projects roadmap. I do not think today we have visibility about potential requirements across LFN projects.
  - [Ranny Haiby](#) seems to be some confusion over the purpose of the proposal - original seems to be more focused on technical and now discussion seems to be one of asking communities for ongoing commitment.
  - [Ed Warnicke](#) wants the lowest bar to entry for a project, but it makes sense for one project to ask hard questions about cross-project dependencies.
  - [VM \(Vicky\) Brasseur](#) it is the responsibility of those that are using a dependency to practice due diligence. That is basic SW dev, not anything specific to OSS.
  - [Mark Beierl](#) OSS already has the equivalent of an escrow for commercial software. Any company can pick it up and maintain it.
  - [VM \(Vicky\) Brasseur](#) If the problem is companies not understanding OSS, that is a fundamentally different problem.
  - Several people note that almost all OSS projects have a "no warranty" clause in the licenses; there should be no need for further notices.
  - In Summary- The responsibility is on the company/project using upstream OSS dependencies
- **Review the [Project Health Review Page](#) & Template - [Jason Hunt](#)**
  - Reviewed the proposed template
  - Projects being reviewed should plan for 15 min preso + 15 min Q&A using the template provided.
  - Metrics should be Q/Q since the last review
  - Jason will update the preso based upon feedback
- **Discuss draft of TAC Chair role description - DRAFT TAC Chair Role.docx - [Jason Hunt](#)**
  - reviewed the proposal

## Action items

- ✓ Casey Cain to add project reviews to the TAC meeting schedule 18 Dec 2019
- ✓ Jason Hunt upload draft of the TAC Chair 04 Dec 2019