

2019-10-30 - [CNTT - RM Workstream Master] Agenda and Meeting Minutes

Wednesday, October 30, 2019

Attendees:

Please add your name in here:

1. [Mark Shostak*](#) (AT&T)
2. [Kelvin Edmison](#) (Nokia)
3. [Nick Chase](#) (Mirantis)
4. [Trevor Cooper](#) (Intel)
5. [Al Morton](#) (AT&T)
6. [Michael Fix](#) (AT&T)
7. [Ahmed El Sawaf](#) (STC)
8. [Karine Sevilla](#) (Orange)
9. [Ulrich Kleber](#) (Huawei)
10. [Manik Sidana](#) (VoerEir)
11. [Pierre Lynch](#) (Keysight)
12. [Georg Kunz](#) (Ericsson)

Special Notes:

- **Each of the three RM sections will get 20 minutes**
- Given the limited time available, we will focus on identifying issues/actions/next steps, but **not solving them right now**

Agenda:

- [Linux Foundation Anti-Trust Policy Notice](#)
- [GSMA Anti-Trust Policy Notice](#)
- Agenda bashing
- **Methodology update**
 - **Drive document through concise, actionable Issues**
 - Identify MVP items/content
 - Enumerate MVP items into high-level content
 - Translate high-level content to open Issues (detailed level)
 - **Weekly RM meetings will**
 - Identify owners for new Issues
 - Track open Issues
 - Address technical issues that cannot be resolved online (i.e. resolve stalls)
 - Address open questions
- **RM Core (status) (Mark S.)**
 - Status
 - Need Issue and volunteer: Determine which caps to eliminate from RMCH-4
 - New Content - Container support
 - New Content - VNF Design Guidelines - Need Issue and volunteer to own initial content
- **RM Ops (status) (Ahmed)**
 - Status
 - **OA&M** - New MVP Content
 - FM
 - Logging
 - Provisioning/State (CM)
 - **LCM** - New MVP Content
 - LCM scope / areas covered
 - Generic installer model
 - Scope/function/state
 - Node prototypes and creation by installer
- **RM Comp (status) (Victor)**

- Status
- TBD
- **Open questions**
 - Should CNTT specify hardware? If so, to what level?
 - How to accommodate both homogeneous and heterogeneous server farms?
 - Do we need to add a constraints category? (e.g., maximum number of cores you can allocate to a single VNF)
 - For example: | e.nfvi.res.cap.001 | #vCPU | number | Min, Max number of vCPU that can be assigned to a single VNFC | -- Are these Capabilities or Constraints?
 - Does the VIM really need its own category of PMs and Caps? (e.g., e.***vim***.cap.xxx)
- New Business

Actions:

- General
 - ☐ None at this time
- Core
 - ☐ Kelvin: Sync up w/ internal people WRT VNF Design Guidelines input
- Ops
 - ☐ Trevor: Sync up w/ Owen (from Intel)
- Comp
 - ☐ None at this time

Minutes:

- General
 - Discussed methodology
 - Nick Chase volunteered to assist people w/ technical writing
- Core
 - Discussed VNF Guidelines and other listed Core agenda items
- Ops
 - Ahmed presented draft monitoring architecture for feedback (as follows)
 - Kelvin: Indicated focus on metrics, rather than architecture (implementation)
 - Kelvin: Indicated diagram might be more appropriate for RA
 - Karine: Diagram should be more generic for RM
- Comp
 - Nil