

EUAG 2019-07-02 Meeting notes

Date

02 Jul 2019

Attendees

LF staff: [Jim Baker](#) [Jill Lovato](#) [Heather Kirksey](#) [David McBride](#) [Kenny Paul](#)

EUAG: [Atul Purohit](#) [Saad Ullah Sheikh](#) [Brian Freeman](#) [Marc-Alexandre Choquette](#) [@javier](#) [Ger](#) [Randy Levensalor](#)

Guests: [Scott Blandford](#)

Agenda

- Start the Recording
- [Antitrust Policy](#)
- Agenda Bashing (Roll Call, Action Items (5 minutes))
- EUAG chair elections [Jim Baker](#)
- DDF results review, action items and next steps [Atul Purohit](#)
- LFN MAC positioning statement - Q&A (previously distributed via email) [Heather Kirksey](#)
- L0/L1 Optical Networks Carrier Interconnection – scope : ONAP/CCVPN and ODL/Transport-pce" [Brian Freeman](#) [Olivier Augizeau](#)

Minutes

- EUAG chair elections
 - [Atul Purohit](#) nominated and was unopposed - Congratulations Atul our new EUAG chair!
- DDF results review [Atul Purohit](#)
 - Review of the [DDF discussions](#)
 - See [demo page](#)
 - Atul to look at options to track action items - AI on the minutes OR using EUAG jira
- LFN MAC positioning statement [Heather Kirksey](#) [Jill Lovato](#)
 - [Pointer from Email send by Brandon Wick](#)
 - Messaging is LFN wide - not project specific
 - Questions:
 - ONAP is not a standard - rather it's based on ETSI/GSMA/etc. - is there some documentation of the relationship between the projects and the SDOs?
 - ONAP has specific liaisons but not a centralized source of LFN SDO engagements - readouts are given at TSC meetings from time to time
 - There is some written agreement with ETSI, can you describe this?
 - ONAP is broader than ETSI - but don't know the scope of the agreement
 - With ETSI there is an agreement of ONAP subset implemented
 - AI - provide the ETSI/ONAP agreement [Jim Baker](#)
 - The value to service providers having a service that works with existing OAM (interop AND operationally compatible)
 - Both a reduction of effort and clarity of responsibility/reduced TTM
 - Telcos VS over-the-top players rate of change is very high - LFN helps this competitive pace
 - Consistent architecture is another benefit - SDOs do not help get consistent implementation - just consensus
 - Seems a little ONAP centric - are there other project related proof points?
 - Perhaps a case study may be more appropriate?
 - Go direct to the ODL technical community - Contact [Casey Cain](#)
- L0/L1 Optical Networks Carrier Interconnection – scope : ONAP/CCVPN and ODL/Transport-pce [Brian Freeman](#)
 - Presentation intention - share activities and seek engagement
 - [@Javier](#) - Fragmentation between LFN projects and SDOs
 - connection between ONAP and BSS is poorly defined - is there an effort to standardize?
 - [Brian Freeman](#) more work needed, MEF & TMF discussions are necessary to understand the different approaches
 - Calls are Wednesdays @ 8AM Eastern <https://wiki.onap.org/display/DW/Meeting+Minutes++Optical+Usecase> - Contact [Denise Provencher](#) for invite (denise.provencher@us.fujitsu.com)
 - slides presented during the call



EUAG L1 Interco...C 19-07-02.pptx

Action items

- ✓ Heather Kirksey Jim Baker Compile a list of SDO engagements and ecosystem relationships 16 Jul 2019
- ✓ Brian Freeman attach slides to wiki minutes and info for the Multi-domain Optical Network Services meeting times 02 Jul 2019