EUAG 2019-05-07 Meeting Minutes

Date

07 May 2019

Attendees

- Kenny Paul Jim Baker user-20f1f Trishan de Lanerolle
- Atul Purohit Marc Fiedler Ryan Hallahan Gerald Kunzmann Marc-Alexandre Choquette Herbert Damker

Minutes

Revision of EUAG Mission Statement Marc Fiedler

- · comfortable with Charter
- Problem statement: Agenda driven by LFN staff not members
- lack of continuity since launch in 2018
- · recordings & minutes being public impacts discussions
- Surveys have been mix and match and the targets have been unclear/misleading
- Topics have not had an impact on features of LFN projects
- Mission Statement for EUAG 2.0 draft:
 - o focus on most relevant topics within the LFN
 - o Collecting the requirements from the SPs (Modeling, Testing, etc.)
 - Share expectations and experiences of activities (POCs, MVPs, RFPs)
 - Set the agenda for the industry for fully automateable capable and adaptable solutions
 - Align on requirements and support to LFN subcommittees
 - Time to market
 - Revenue
 - Performance, usability, reliability...
 - Compliance, Auditing, Security...
- Way forward 2019/2020
 - Kick off the EUAG 2.0 (possibly) at the DDF in Stockholm June 2019
 - Establish an operating mode that can influence/support the PTLs/subcommittee
 - Bi-weekly calls with rotating moderation by members
 - Action item tracking
 - Reviews quarterly on DDF/OSN meetings focus points/progress
 Report to the LFN GB/TAC/TSCs

Review the homework

Atul Purohit Focus areas Vodafone

- · Carrier priorities ONAP
 - o Functional/nonfumctinal (liaison w/ usecase sub committee) deployment related
- State of Play
 - Production examples
 - PoC examples
- Cross Carrier initiatives
 - Ex-BBS

 - O Use case focus? Not only use cases feel free to use other examples
- Distribution Strategy

Ryan Hallahan Focus area AT&T

- · Carrier priorities ONAP (roughly in priority order)
 - · ONAP
 - Platform maturity
 - defect backlog
 - 3rd party vulnerabilities
 - Faster release cadence
 - improve tool-chain infrastructure
 - CII silver badging
 - Increase and expand unit test code coverage

- Address critical project specific tech debt
- Improve documentation (eg. all APIs fully documented)
- o control loop enhancements
 - operations automation
 - model driven control loop design (had addition materials not presented)
- VNF validation
 - Description clarity
 - create and maintain a set of VNF requirements (had addition materials not presented)
- o 5G/PNF support
 - VNF/PNF Change Management
- State of Play
- Cross Carrier Initiatives

Marc-Alexandre Choquette Focus areas for Bell Canada

- Carrier priorities ONAP
 - o serviceability for config and control loop
 - missing/limited configuration capabilities
 - not model driven config
 - DGs not in line w/ operational needs
 - Limited/hard-coded closed loop function
 - limited data collection
 - DMaaP REST APIs rather than native Kafka client
 - no capabilities/framework for data enrichment
 - heavy/broken collector and analytics
 - o platform maturity
 - No component level maturity assessment
 - something similar to CNCF model would be good.
 - should not be a required component until a certain level of maturity is in place
 - Content vs. code not model driven
 - S3P tighter security
 - scalability fo high volume components (DCAE, DMaaP, controllers)
 upgradability on major ONAP releases

 - o platform simplification (using exiting tech vs. new build) too complex, too convoluted
 - End user documentation and overall experience
- Self-Serviceability

Suggest a 2 hour meeting next time

Action items

Jim Baker send out a poll for a 2 hr meeting next time