

EUAG 2019-04-23 meeting minutes

- [Attendees](#)
- [EUAG Working Group proposal 2](#)
- [OVP value proposition/MVP review](#)
- [Chat Log](#)

Attendees

Members: [Atul Purohit](#) [David Perez Caparros](#) [Rabi Abdel](#) [Ryan Hallahan](#) [Brian Freeman](#) [Guy Meador](#) [Marc-Alexandre Choquette](#)

Guests: [Catherine Lefevre](#) [Saad Ullah Sheikh](#) [Lincoln Lavoie](#) [LIN MENG](#) [@ramesh](#), [Shiby Parayil](#) [Trevor Cooper](#) [Vincent Scharf](#)

LF staff: [Jim Baker](#) [user-20f1f](#) [Heather Kirksey](#) [Kenny Paul](#) [Alan Blackburn](#)

EUAG Working Group proposal 2

[Atul Purohit](#)



EUAG 2.0 Engage...ment Model.pptx

None of the current attendees were a part of the original formation of the EUAG!

Objective: Create more valuable engagement by focusing on important projects: ONAP (initially)

Focus areas:

- Carrier priorities for ONAP
 - get a catalog of service provider priorities
- State of play
 - PoC examples and Production examples
- Cross-carrier initiatives
- Distribution strategy
 - MVP approach/platform maturity plan

Atul reviewed a set of "homework" for each of the CSPs to get more insight to the interests and actions of each of the CSPs

- **CSPs are to complete the 3 worksheets for review by 2019-05-07**
- We'll review the CSP inputs in the next EUAG meeting
- At the 2019-05-21 meeting, we'll review the normalized view



Template For PoCs.pptx



Template For Top... Priorities.pptx



Template For State of Play.pptx

OVP value proposition/MVP review

[Heather Kirksey Lincoln Lavoie](#)

Heather presented the concept of a Minimum Valuable Product proposal

Asking for feedback on the assumptions and priorities of the MVP

Lincoln reviewed the progress to date on the OVP

- We have basic "compliance" testing today
- Next step is VNF "validation" testing - sitting atop a NFVI w/ONAP

?Is this a set of requirements?

- Not proposing the requirements - that is up to ONAP VNFRQMTs
 - Rather looking for validation from the CSPs on the testing activities
 - See: [VNF Validation Minimum Viable Product](#)

Considerable discussion on the nature of the NFVI used for testing

Lifecycle management

- Instead of looking at the full range of LCM - focus on day0 (on-boarding & instantiation) activities

?Does this provide value for the CSPs in the Rel E timeframe?

- [Brian Freeman](#) Yes - it is a step along a progression
- [Saad Ullah Sheikh](#) Useful but not sufficient
- [Guy Meador](#) Not the NFVI nor is it ONAP MVP - it's only focused on the NFV

Looking to finalize by end of April - will provide a final recap of the MVP by next meeting

Chat Log

07:30:44 From Catherine Lefevre : i can not speak - here is catherine

07:30:59 From Catherine Lefevre : 32475 77 36 73

07:32:30 From Catherine Lefevre : thanks I will disconnect since i was only attending to the first part as ONAP TSC :-)

07:32:36 From Catherine Lefevre : have a nice day

07:42:07 From Brian : onap doesnt provide a Openstack - wording issue

07:43:27 From Heather Kirksey : Brian, we were under the impression that ONAP does ship w/ a vanilla OpenStack. If that's not the case, that's a great point to bring up. Thx

07:44:54 From Marc-Alexandre Choquette (Bell Canada) : you could swap 'provided' by 'supported'.

07:45:51 From Brian : 'supported' would be much better

07:46:13 From SAAD SHEIKH <STC> : Do we have a plan to output some specifications that can support us during RFP bcz from OPNFV OVP also we find challenge for this point

07:51:15 From LinMeng : how does the requirements& deliverables designed? why the test only focus on HEAT based VNFs?

07:53:58 From Lincoln Lavoie (UNH-IOL) : @LinMeng, the focus on HEAT is largely based on the relationship to the timing. TOSCA would likely require additional work on requirements and test tooling. So, the value question is, Does HEAT in the E-release timing create the first level of value, or does it need to include TOSCA and push the timing out to create the value

07:55:43 From LinMeng : thanks

07:56:45 From Heather Kirksey : And LinMeng, that is one of the questions we wanted to ask all of you here?

08:03:04 From Lincoln Lavoie (UNH-IOL) : [VNF Validation Minimum Viable Product](#)

08:03:32 From LinMeng : I will confirm and provide the feedback . I think we have the requirements for the testing of TOSCA based VNFs