

Considerations As We Move Toward Dublin & Beyond

Kenny Paul, Technical Program Manager, LFN

January, 11, 2019

A Really Smart Guy Once Said...

"Too many people think open source development is simply writing code. It ain't. Even I can write code. Open source development is the discipline, methodology and collaboration around writing that code."

-Kenny Paul, Former Corporate Irritant

An Even Smarter Guy Once Said...

"It's very difficult to look people you respect in the eyes and say no, but one big lesson I've learned from being on ODL's TSC, is that it's critical to be selective with your finite resources and focus on your core responsibilities."

-Daniel Farrell, Redhat

A Super Smart Guy Once Said...

"Mmmmmmm."
Donuts."

-Homer Simpson

We've Grown Out Of Our Old Clothes

 We originally established a number of non-traditional processes for a project of this type

 All were pragmatic decisions based upon necessity and velocity of what we were trying to achieve

Executing the way we did has changed an entire industry

Trust.

Getting A Spiffy New (Suit | Hoodie | Leather Jacket | T-Shirt)

It is time for an updated set of pragmatic decisions designed to:

- Scale to meet the needs of the industry
- •Ensure the right activities are in the hands of the people closest to the activities
- Better cultural alignment in an opensource context

The Haunted Mansion

- Still a few "Ghosts" floating about where a legacy software development practice pops-out to scare community members
- We cannot afford redoing work caused by down-stream development getting pushed up-stream
- Community needs to stand firm and be vocal if/when this may occur
- No ghost development: Upstream First!

Lots of Low Hanging Fruit

- Things we've approved/agreed-to but have never gotten around to doing
 - Project Landing Page wikis simplified and cleaned up
 - Finish handing off calendar management
- No-Brainer Implementations
 - Using groups.io poll functionality for onap-tsc-votes
- Make it easy for new folks to come up to speed
 - https://wiki.onap.org/display/DW/Security+Community+Welcome+Guide+and+way-of-working
- Eliminating duplicate data sources
 - Eliminating duplicate sources of data
 - Eliminating redundant data sources

Not as Low Hanging Fruit: Mailing List Management

• AS IS:

- Discuss list w/ [tag] vs. individual project lists
- Why: concerns that people would not be able to see what someone else was doing
- Pro: All of the email traffic for the project is on one list
- Con: All of the email traffic for the project is on one list
- Time to consider moving to individual project lists?
 - Pro: onap-discuss becomes truly about technical discussions of ONAP
 - Pro: interested parties can join the lists they are involved in w/o getting flooded by everything
 - Con: potential for a loss of transparency
 - Mitigation: an engaged TSC and PTL base and/or mandate hashtags


High, Overly Ripe, Nearly Rotting Fruit

Repos, repos everywhere

- Typically repos are used to differentiate Committer permissions
- It is most common to have only one or two per project
- We have ~250 active repos in ONAP currently and growing

• This. Does. Not. Scale.

Best Practice project-x Repo-A Repo-B Repo-c Repo-d



Food for Thought on Better Self-Governance

- Expectation that all TSC members need to take an active role in some aspect of the release workflow
- "Active Community Member" definition
 - Highly inclusive on one hand, unwieldly and error prone on the other
- PTLs being limited to overseeing a single project
 - More opportunities for contributor growth and less chance of resource contention/distraction
- Currently Rel-Mgr == Infra Coordinator. Still the desired model now that this is an LF Staff position?
- Re-evaluate the composition of the TSC. Yes, I said it.



Tool Demo: Confluence live edit



Tool Demo: groups.io calendar management

