

ONAP Honolulu - What Should We Improve?

October 15, 2020

Accomplishments

- Implement the "Requirements" Subcommittee
- Role of ONAP to support CNFs: creation of [ONAP CNF Task Force](#)
- Implement [Project Review/Lifecycle](#)
- Review [Release Cadence](#)
- Deliver [Frankfurt](#) Release (Sign-Off on 6/11)
- CNTT/ONAP Partnership: Part of [OVP PH2 \(Cloud Native\)](#)

In Progress

- Promote "What we have done" – Thank you to all ONAP “Demo Makers”
- Deliver Guilin Release (November 2020)

Next

- Implement the new Release Cadence Strategy with Honolulu
- Setup ONAP Project Maintenance Task Force

What Else?

- Review TSC Composition
- How can we manage multiple releases i.e. N-2 (El Alto, Dublin)
Today we only support N (latest official release i.e. Frankfurt)
and N+1 (release under development i.e. Guilin)?
- Document to describe “How to operate ONAP” beyond the use cases and functional requirements
- Sharing “Best Practices” about how to use ONAP (from an operational perspective) across companies
- Continue to promote “What we have done” (Webinars, Demos, ONAP Certifications)
- Increase Test Automation (including Regression of previous Use Cases) + Demos for Use Cases (Do not wait RCx to start)
- Learn from CNCF about how we could optimize our ONAP Deployment (K8s and more)
- Resume our E2E Load/Performance/Stretch Testing to help us to define capacity management and to identify potential bottlenecks in our E2E Architecture

Thank You