

Cloud iNfrastructure Telco Taskforce

Virtual LFN Developer & Testing Forum – June, 2020

RA-1

Security and Testing for security

Karine Sevilla

June 25, 2020

 THE **LINUX** FOUNDATION



Agenda

- › Status on RA-1 security
 - › what we have
 - › what we need to expand

Common security requirements with ONAP

- › Security Testing
 - › How to validate RA-1 security requirements?
 - › Tests available
 - › Testing tools
 - › Gaps
- › Action Plan

Status on RA-1 Security

- › RA-1 security requirements = RM security requirements applied to infrastructure based on OpenStack

- › Security requirements in RA-1 Chapter 2

https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/blob/master/doc/ref_arch/openstack/chapters/chapter02.md#2.3.8

- › Security Content in RA-1 Chapter 6

https://github.com/cntt-n/CNTT/blob/master/doc/ref_arch/openstack/chapters/chapter06.md

- › RA-1 security coverage

- › Platform access
- › System Hardening
- › Confidentiality and Integrity
- › Workload security
- › Image security
- › Security LCM
- › Security Audit logging

- › Application/VNF workload security: out of scope

RA-1 Security: Evolution for Baraque release

- › Expand RA-1 Security Chapter to address all the security requirements coming from RM
 - › We need more contributors to provide content on topics such as
 - › System hardening
 - › Image security
 - › Monitoring and audit
- › Review RM requirements
 - › Some requirements too generic must be clarified
 - sec.wl.002: The Platform **must** support operational security
 - sec.wl.005: Production workloads **must** be separated from non-production workloads
 - › Requirements beyond CNTT scope?
 - sec.gen.012: The Operator **must** ensure that only authorized actors have physical access to the underlying infrastructure.
 - sec.wl.006: Workloads **must** be separable by their categorisation (for example, payment card information, healthcare, etc.)

Common security requirements with ONAP

- › Analysis on going on ONAP security requirements

<https://wiki.lfnetworking.org/display/LN/RM+and+RA1%3A+ONAP+Security+Requirements>

- › Take advantage of this effort to improve RA-1 with missing requirements

Testing for security RA-1 -> RC-1

- › Testing
 - › Mandatory security requirements to be validated via automated testing as much as possible
 - › 4 categories of requirements
 - › Requirements automatically fulfilled by design using OpenStack
 - › Requirements validated via automated testing
 - › Requirements validated via manual testing
 - › Requirements validated using specific security tools
 - › Refine the CNTT scope on security testing regarding requirements not part of these categories

Security Tests

- › What are the security tests relevant and already available?
 - › VM images scan, Docker images scan
 - › Configuration files and binaries integrity check
 - › Verification of QEMU/KVM hardening via checksec.sh
 - › OpenStack security Checklist (Security Guide) for Keystone, Horizon, Nova, Cinder, Neutron
 - › OpenStack Patrole for RBAC testing
 - › CIS (Center for Internet Security) benchmarks
 - › ...

Security tools

- › What are the existing testing tools?
 - › CIS-CAT (Configuration Assessment Tools)
 - › OpenSCAP (Open Security Content Automation Protocol)
 - › AIDE (Advanced Intrusion Detection Environment)
 - › ...

Action Plan

- › Objective for Baraque release
 - › Complete RA-1 Security chapter with missing security items
 - › Identify the relevant security tests available
 - › Identify the relevant security tools available
 - › Integrate as much as possible the tests into Xtesting framework to automate the testing
 - › Other ideas?

Thanks!

 THE **LINUX** FOUNDATION

