

Technical Advisory Council Meeting

May 23, 2018

Antitrust Compliance Notice

- Meetings of the LF Networking Fund involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of the Project to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of and not participate in any activities that are prohibited under applicable U.S. state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws. Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at LF Networking Fund meetings and in connection with LF Networking Fund activities are described in the The Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel or Andrew Updegrove, of the firm of Gesmer Updegrove LLP, which provides legal counsel to The Linux Foundation.
- Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy: <https://www.linuxfoundation.org/antitrust-policy>.

Agenda

- › May'18 Governing Board (GB) meeting follow-up
- › Enhancing communications with GB and among LFN projects
- › Updates/timeline for community process documents
- › AoB

TAC Member Directory

Platinum Representatives

Company	Name	Email	Company	Name	Email
AMDOCS	Eyal Felstaine	Eyal.Felstaine@amdocs.com	Nokia	Tapio Tallgren	tapio.tallgren@nokia.com
AT&T	Mazin Gilbert	mg1528@att.com	Orange	Jamil Chawki	jamil.chawki@orange.com
ARM	Tina Tsou	Tina.Tsou@arm.com	Qualcomm	Jasmin Ajanovic	jasmin@qti.qualcomm.com
Bell Canada	David Sauvageau	david.sauvageau@bell.ca	Red Hat	Andre Fredette	afredette@redhat.com
China Mobile	Lingli Deng	denglingli@chinamobile.com	Reliance Jio	Aayush Bhatnagar	aayush.bhatnagar@ril.com
China Telecom	Xie Xiaojun	xiexj.gd@chinatelecom.cn	Samsung	Sohyong Chong	hyong.chong@samsung.com
Cisco	Frank Brockners	fbrockne@cisco.com	SUSE	Rossella Sblendido	RSblendido@suse.com
Ericsson	Anders Rosengren	anders.rosengren@ericsson.com	Tech Mahindra	Dhananjay Pavgi	dp00476350@techmahindra.com
Huawei	Wenjing Chu	Wenjing.Chu@huawei.com	Turk Telekom	Oğuzhan Ceylan	oguzhan.ceylan@turktelekom.com.tr
IBM	Jason Hunt	djhunt@us.ibm.com	Verizon	Anil Guntupalli	anil.guntupalli@verizon.com
Intel	Rajesh Gadiyar	rajesh.gadiyar@intel.com	VMWare	Danny Lin	lind@vmware.com
Juniper Networks	Randy Bias	rbias@juniper.net	Vodafone	Davide Cherubini	davide.cherubini@vodafone.com
Lenovo	Igor Marty	imarty@lenovo.com	ZTE	Chen Xing	dick.chen@ztetx.com
NEC/NetCracker	Toshiyuki Tamura	tamurato@aj.jp.nec.com			

Project Representatives

Project	Name	Email	Project	Name	Email
fd.io	Ed Warnicke	eaw@cisco.com	ONAP	Mazin Gilbert	mg1528@att.com
OpenDaylight	Daniel Farrell	dfarrell@redhat.com	OPNFV	Tim Irmich	tim.irmich@ericsson.com

May'18 LFN Governing Board meeting update (1/2)

- › There were good discussions on project induction process and TAC projects' request for GB meeting participation.
- › On the Induction Process, and Lifecycle documents, questions were asked if splitting the two would get the Induction document finished sooner.
- › Concerning TF's Induction status, the following was discussed:
 - › During the March face to face GB meeting, there were no objections to inducting TF; only the issue of no documented process for consistent induction evaluations for all projects over time
 - › The TF project is somewhat stalled currently not knowing to operate as an LF project outside of LFN or as a project within it.
 - › Given the new extended time period required to create the process then evaluate TF against the documented criteria, it is expected that such an evaluation will not occur prior to the end of August or sometime in September
 - › With the above in mind, the question arose if the GB should conditionally induct the TF project adjusting it's lifecycle stage and mitigating any induction requirements after the induction documents have been completed.
 - › An email vote is currently underway to conditionally induct TF into LFN

May'18 LFN Governing Board meeting update (2/2)

- › The GB discussed the recommendation of the TAC to extend the GB membership to include representatives from the TAC-Project communities
 - › There was a general sense of concern for increasing the size of the GB
 - › There was discussion on how best to ensure timely input and discussion with community leadership so that the GB is always properly informed. Consensus formed around providing time for individual project discussions where community leadership is invited to GB meetings on a quarterly basis.
 - › There was discussion on investigating a process that would give some level of empowerment to the TAC and technical communities for budget decisions under some spending threshold.
 - › An email vote is currently underway to decline the recommendation of the TAC and expand the GB with technical community representatives and to allocate time in GB meetings for community discussions on a quarterly basis.

Enhancing communication with the GB and between LFN projects

- › With the GB
 - › Phil will be sending out GB meeting summaries to technical communities
 - › Periodically, TAC projects to present themes for their upcoming release at the GB meeting
 - › Ideally at least several weeks prior to the release and this will be a forward looking activity (vs. marketing talking points that are developed near the release)
 - › Proposed schedule below for the next few meetings:
 - › June 13, 2018: fd.io (2018.07) & OPNFV (Gambia)
 - › July 18, 2018: ODL (Fluorine) & ONAP (Casablanca)
- › Between LFN projects
 - › Phil is proposing to send out a monthly highlights email (3-5 bullet points for each project) to “tech-discuss” mailing lists
 - › Project PMs can work with individual communities on these “project highlights”

Updates/timeline for community process documents

- › What are the next steps & timelines for the following?
 - › Project proposal: <https://gerrit.linuxfoundation.org/infra/#/c/10103/>
 - › Project lifecycle: <https://gerrit.linuxfoundation.org/infra/#/c/10221/>
 - › LFN Principles: <https://gerrit.linuxfoundation.org/infra/#/c/10223/>