Antitrust Compliance Notice

- Meetings of the LF Networking Fund involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of the Project to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of and not participate in any activities that are prohibited under applicable U.S. state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws. Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at LF Networking Fund meetings and in connection with LF Networking Fund activities are described in the The Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel or Andrew Updegrove, of the firm of Gesmer Updegrove LLP, which provides legal counsel to The Linux Foundation.

Agenda

› LFN project proposal process
› LFN project lifecycle
› TAC leadership roles
› AoB
# TAC Member Directory

## Platinum Representatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMDOCS</td>
<td>Eyal Felstaine</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Eyal.Felstaine@amdocs.com">Eyal.Felstaine@amdocs.com</a></td>
<td>Nokia</td>
<td>Tapio Tallgren</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tapio.tallgren@nokia.com">tapio.tallgren@nokia.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT&amp;T</td>
<td>Mazin Gilbert</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mg1528@att.com">mg1528@att.com</a></td>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>Jamil Chawki</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jamil.chawki@orange.com">jamil.chawki@orange.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARM</td>
<td>Tina Tsou</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Tina.Tsou@arm.com">Tina.Tsou@arm.com</a></td>
<td>Qualcomm</td>
<td>Jasmin Ajanovic</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jasmin@qti.qualcomm.com">jasmin@qti.qualcomm.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell Canada</td>
<td>David Sauvageau</td>
<td><a href="mailto:david.sauvageau@bell.ca">david.sauvageau@bell.ca</a></td>
<td>Red Hat</td>
<td>Andre Fredette</td>
<td><a href="mailto:afredette@redhat.com">afredette@redhat.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China Mobile</td>
<td>Lingli Deng</td>
<td><a href="mailto:denglingli@chinamobile.com">denglingli@chinamobile.com</a></td>
<td>Reliance Jio</td>
<td>Aayush Bhatnagar</td>
<td><a href="mailto:aayush.bhatnag@ril.com">aayush.bhatnag@ril.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China Telecom</td>
<td>Xie Xiaojun</td>
<td><a href="mailto:xiexj.gd@chinatelecom.cn">xiexj.gd@chinatelecom.cn</a></td>
<td>Samsung</td>
<td>Sohyong Chong</td>
<td><a href="mailto:hyong.chong@samsung.com">hyong.chong@samsung.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisco</td>
<td>Frank Brockners</td>
<td><a href="mailto:fbrockne@cisco.com">fbrockne@cisco.com</a></td>
<td>SUSE</td>
<td>Rossella Sblendido</td>
<td><a href="mailto:RSblendido@suse.com">RSblendido@suse.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ericsson</td>
<td>Anders Rosengren</td>
<td><a href="mailto:anders.rosengren@ericsson.com">anders.rosengren@ericsson.com</a></td>
<td>Tech Mahinda</td>
<td>Dhananjay Pavig</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dp00476350@techmahindra.com">dp00476350@techmahindra.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huawei</td>
<td>Wenjing Chu</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Wenjing.Chu@huawei.com">Wenjing.Chu@huawei.com</a></td>
<td>Turk Telekom</td>
<td>Oğuzhan Ceylan*</td>
<td><a href="mailto:oguzhan.ceylan@turktelekom.com.tr">oguzhan.ceylan@turktelekom.com.tr</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM</td>
<td>Jason Hunt</td>
<td><a href="mailto:djhunt@us.ibm.com">djhunt@us.ibm.com</a></td>
<td>Verizon</td>
<td>Anil Gunupalli</td>
<td><a href="mailto:anil.gunupalli@verizon.com">anil.gunupalli@verizon.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intel</td>
<td>Rajesh Gadiyar</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rajesh.gadiyar@intel.com">rajesh.gadiyar@intel.com</a></td>
<td>VMWare</td>
<td>Danny Lin</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lind@vmware.com">lind@vmware.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juniper Networks</td>
<td>Randy Bias</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rbias@juniper.net">rbias@juniper.net</a></td>
<td>Vodafone</td>
<td>Davide Cherubini*</td>
<td><a href="mailto:davide.cherubini@vodafone.com">davide.cherubini@vodafone.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenovo</td>
<td>Igor Marty</td>
<td><a href="mailto:imarty@lenovo.com">imarty@lenovo.com</a></td>
<td>ZTE</td>
<td>Chen Xing</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dick.chen@ztedx.com">dick.chen@ztedx.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEC/NetCracker</td>
<td>Toshiyuki Tamura</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tamurato@aj.jp.nec.com">tamurato@aj.jp.nec.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Project Representatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fd.io</td>
<td>Ed Warnicke</td>
<td><a href="mailto:eaw@cisco.com">eaw@cisco.com</a></td>
<td>ONAP</td>
<td>Mazin Gilbert</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mg1528@att.com">mg1528@att.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OpenDaylight</td>
<td>Daniel Farrell</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dfarrell@redhat.com">dfarrell@redhat.com</a></td>
<td>OPNFV</td>
<td>Tim Imich</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tim.imich@ericsson.com">tim.imich@ericsson.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*new since the last meeting*
Documents Needed For LFN Project Induction Activities

› 1) A process and rubric for inducting a project into LFN. The LFN Charter [0] (Section 3.f.i) identifies these as the responsibility of the LFN Governing Board; both the creation of the process and the definition of the rubric.

› 2) The process and rubric to determine if a project in LFN is a TAC-Project; i.e. What criteria determines if a project is allowed to have a representative on the TAC or not. The LFN Charter [0] (Section 7.e) identifies the creation of this process and rubric is the responsibility of the TAC and the Governing Board together.

› 3) Project Lifecycle, and criteria for each stage. The LFN Charter [0] (Section 7.e) identifies that this will be created by the TAC and Governing Board together.

What is being asked of the TAC

1) A process and rubric for inducting a project into LFN. The LFN Charter [0] (Section 3.f.i) identifies these as the responsibility of the LFN Governing Board; both the creation of the process and the definition of the rubric.

2) The process and rubric to determine if a project in LFN is a TAC-Project; i.e. What criteria determines if a project is allowed to have a representative on the TAC or not. The LFN Charter [0] (Section 7.e) identifies the creation of this process and rubric is the responsibility of the TAC and the Governing Board together.

3) Project Lifecycle, and criteria for each stage. The LFN Charter [0] (Section 7.e) identifies that this will be created by the TAC and Governing Board together.

Draft Documents Provided

› LFN New Project Proposal Process v1.1
  › Identifies process LFN Governing Board uses to collect and evaluate relevant information regarding the induction request of a Candidate-Project.

› LFN Project Lifecycle Draft v1.1
  › Identifies Project Lifecycle Stages and potential criteria to be used in determining the stage to which each LFN project belongs.
  › Also identifies TAC projects as being projects in either the “Growth” or “Graduated” stages.
Where Do We Want To Go From Here?

› Start with LFN Project Lifecycle Draft and iterate on it incorporating Daniel Farrell’s comments and others.

› Start with a blank sheet of paper, and create a Lifecycle governance document including what constitutes a TAC project from scratch.

› My request is that however we do this, that we try to minimize the time we make the TF project wait for a go/no-go decision, given that the actual induction decision is the sole responsibility of the LFN Governing Board and that I expect the Governing Board would approve the current LFN New Project Proposal document as soon as the TAC has a completed LFN Project Lifecycle document.
TAC Leadership roles

› One of the main concerns based on the latest TAC leadership mailing list discussions:
  › Individual technical communities having a voice at the Governing Board (GB)
  › A single GB representative from the TAC may not be familiar with all LFN projects and thus be an effective voice for all communities
  › The LFN Charter (section 7 (iii)) notes electing a single TAC representative to the GB

› If the desire is to have more than one TAC representative to the GB, we’ll need to have a discussion with the GB.
AoB

› Federating LFN Jira's: https://jira.opendaylight.org/browse/TSC-87
› Open Finances: https://jira.opendaylight.org/browse/TSC-88
BACKUP
New LFN Project Proposal Process

1. Candidate project preparation: incl. conformance to minimum open source project behaviors
2. Candidate project proposal: a request to the LFN Governing Board (GB) for inclusion in LFN
3. Due diligence contacts: e.g. business development, marketing, technical community, etc.
4. Data collection for due diligence: separate check lists for business (e.g. estimated cost/revenue), marketing needs (e.g. events, AR/PR, website, staff, etc.), technical (community, technology overview), infrastructure requirements, etc.
5. GB evaluation/vote
6. Upon approval, induction into the LFN

(more details can be found in attachments to this email)
LFN Project Lifecycle

› Modeled after CNCF (plus information from ASF & Eclipse)

› Criteria for “graduation”
  › Sandbox: strategic relevance, licensing, asset transfer, budget/resource estimates, etc. (with re-evaluation every 12 months)
  › Growth: production use, healthy number of committers, healthy flow of commits/merged contributions, etc.
  › Graduation: Diversity of committers, CII badging, defined project governance/committer process, a public list of project adopters, etc.

(more details can be found in attachments to this email)