

Attendees: Chris Donley, Georg Kunz, Lincoln Lavoie, Rabi Abdel, Steven Wright, Trevor Cooper

Joining from The Linux Foundation: Heather Kirksey, Kenny Paul, Min Yu

VNF Compliance Program Timeline

- Chris and Heather presented the VNF Compliance Program timeline.
 - From now to the ONS EU in September is the program definition period. Tasks during this period include approving the program governance, finalizing the 3rd-party lab strategy, developing ONAP test cases, and refactoring VNFSDK as well as starting the documentation work.
 - After the ONS EU through the ONAP Casablanca release and Plugfest in December will be the technical enhancement period. Tasks during this period will include beta testing ONAP VNFs and integration with Dovetail.
 - From October on till January 2019 will be the go to market period. Tasks during this period will include vendor outreach/initial cohort recruitment, program website rebranding and development, and finalizing terms and conditions.
- Steven asked when the test cases will be developed and how they will be tracked. Chris answered between now and September because this schedule aligns with the M4 for the ONAP Casablanca release. Steven then asked if there is a minimum threshold of how many test cases that needs to be defined. Chris said the threshold should be negotiated with and signed off by the ONAP TSC. Heather commented that even though technical oversight is delegated to the TSC, the LFN GB would be interested in the scope of the initial program. So this Committee should be prepared to share what types of testing will be involved with the GB at its F2F meeting at ONS EU. Chris noted the demonstration of the full program will be included at the joint ONAP-OPNFV meeting in December. Vendors can do the initial testing at the event and further testing following the event. Once results are finalized, the official PR will then be launched in January.
- Chris clarified that the ONAP VNFs will be used to develop test cases and that vendors will be invited to test their commercial VNFs through the Compliance Program. Steven suggested documenting these sample ONAP VNFs, and Chris noted that the documentation will be done later when it gets closer to program launch.
- Heather noted the logistical challenge of finding facilities to host the joint OPNFV Plugfest and ONAP technical community meeting in December and the possibility that the joint meeting may be pushed out to January. Chris said this shouldn't significantly impact the timeline of launching the program.

Continuation of the 3rd-Party Lab Strategy/Governance Discussion

- The attendees agreed that a lab shall not host a list of devices approved under the LFN Compliance Program on its own website. Instead, such a list should be hosted by The Linux Foundation.
- Further clarification was made that a lab shall encourage its participants to submit passing results for formal review. Georg noted that the current mechanics of submitting the results is to manually upload the log files produced by the Dovetail tool to the portal.

- It was confirmed that labs or participants cannot participate in the review/approval process of their own results.
- A clarification was made that labs will use unmodified versions of the tool provided by the Linux Foundation within the Program.
- Another clarification was made that the CVC would benefit from the requirement that a Preferred Lab participate in the review process because of these labs' expertise. Furthermore, it was made clear that it's an expectation rather than a requirement category that the labs should participate in the technical work of the LFN community and provide feedback on the tool for the continuous improvement of Dovetail and other test tools and infrastructure. Lincoln will add additional text to clearly differentiate expectations from requirements/qualifications.
- Additions to the document:
 - Chris suggested adding a section about cross-lab participation and training to foster best practices among the lab community.
 - Trevor suggested adding a section that The Linux Foundation reserves the right to audit the Preferred Labs, and the audit may include reviewing the test results and technical documentations as well as replicating tests.
- The Committee will do a final review during its next call to approve a stable draft to take it to the TSCs for input, to the LF legal team for legal review and terms & conditions, and to the GB for final approval at the ONS EU in September.