

Attendees: Andrei Kojukhov (Amdocs), Atul Purohit (Vodafone), Bruce Thompson (Amdocs), Chris Donley (Huawei), Davide Cherubini (Vodafone), Eddie Arrage (Huawei), Ganesh Kadarkaraimuthu (Verizon), Georg Kunz (Ericsson), Lincoln Lavoie (UNH), Rabi Abdel (Vodafone), Remi Bars (Orange), Steven Wright (AT&T), Tapio Tallgren (Nokia), Trevor Cooper (Intel) **Joining from The Linux Foundation:** Min Yu, Ray Paik

Committee Formation and Leadership Election

- Atul asked for a summary of the role and responsibilities of the LFN C&V Committee. Chris explained that the C&V Committee reports to the LFN Governing Board, it's chartered to establish the legal framework, governance, and processes for compliance programs. Each technical community, such as ONAP, OPNFV, FD.io, ODL, will define their own test requirements, test plans, and tools. The C&V Committee will work with these various communities to create a cohesive LFN compliance program using a common test framework, governance, and processes.
- Steven asked if other communities are represented. Chris noted that the initial interest is to continue with OPNFV and add ONAP. ODL has the Powered-by ODL program that's more focused on the usage of their code and the FD.io community is considering a program similar to the Powered-by ODL program.
- Ray will kick off the Chair and Vice-Chair nomination process via email today. The nomination period will run for a week and the election will be conducted via Condorcet during the week after. The top finisher from the vote will be elected as the Chair and the runner-up will be the Vice-Chair. Because only one representative per organization is allowed to vote, Ray will ask members from organizations with multiple participants in the Committee to decide on the voting representative. The current Committee member roster is available on the [Compliance and Verification Committee's wiki page](#).

OPNFV Verified Program

- Chris showed the current list of contents on the [OPNFV Verified Program Portal](#). Then he walked the attendees through the [Governance Guidelines](#), which he noted would be largely applicable and could be extended to the compliance program at the LFN level.
- Atul asked about the appeal process. Chris clarified that this Governance Guidelines provides an escalation path for vendors whose products didn't pass the test. Service providers who don't think the vendor's verified products address the needs in their RFPs should provide the feedback to the TSC to ensure that the test program would match with their requirements.
- Atul asked how access to the test environment is enabled. Chris walked the Committee through the [Process Workflow](#) that describes the steps prospective participants need to take in order to obtain the "OPNFV Verified" status, including how to set up the system under test.
- Atul asked if the list of vendors' products that have obtained the mark is publicly viewable somewhere. Chris said this is currently at a private section of verified.opnfv.org. But the work is in progress to have one centralized publically accessible location for service providers to check the products and logos.

- Steven asked if the Committee should reach out to the TSCs to understand their communities' needs for compliance programs before proposing the governance and processes. Chris noted that today's call is about level setting since the Committee is still forming. Chris expressed openness to collecting feedback from the TSCs but cautioned that it would take much longer to launch the LFN compliance program if significant departures from the process currently used for the OPNFV Verified Program are desired.

Dovetail

- Georg walked the attendees through the current and future scope of CVP as well as the test ecosystem and topology of test infrastructure. He noted that the tests are leveraged from the existing OPNFV test tools, such as Functest and Yardstick for the first release, Bottlenecks and other performance test tools for future releases, while Dovetail builds the user interface and test reporting to provide test results.
- In explaining the Dovetail's test execution, Georg noted that Dovetail's job is to instantiate and configure the containers providing the test tools in order to trigger the correct test case execution. Currently Dovetail is experimenting on integration with VNFSDK VNF test in the ONAP community to run VNF validations. This demonstrates how Dovetail could be extended to include additional test tools and communities. If the test tools are provided by containers, then the tools can be launched by Dovetail.
- Steven raised a few questions about Dovetail. Georg responded that there is a general scheming structure to uniquely identify the tests. Vendors will need to use the log files to diagnose what exactly failed. The resource requirements are fairly light to run Dovetail. Currently the SUT should be bare metal systems. As for the integrity of the test results, there is currently no specific measure to encrypt the data. This is more a trust issue rather than one that should be tackled via technical means. Eddie added that downstream users will still most likely validate the results so it doesn't make sense for vendors to cheat.
- Georg will post the Dovetail slides on the Committee's wiki page.