

LFN CVC Meeting notes (May 30, 2018)

2 messages

Raymond Paik rpaik@linuxfoundation.org>
To: compliance@lists.lfnetworking.org

Mon, Jun 4, 2018 at 11:22 PM

All,

Apologies for the delay. Below are notes from the CVC meeting on Wednesday.

Attendees: Chris Donley, Lincoln, Steven Wright, Tapio, Remi Bars, Eddie Arrage, Georg Kunz, Trevor Cooper, Rabi Abdel, Kareem Elhassasny, Phil Robb, Ray

Topics:

- Nokia OVP results: Georg/Lincoln reported that they're happy with the latest results from Nokia for NCIR (test id: d36e19de). All mandatory tests are passing with no optional tests. Committee members were in favor of approving the Nokia submission and there were no objections.
- Tooling:
 - Jira: The committee is using jira.opnfv.org. Members should look for Kanban board under the key "CVP."
 People should be able to log in with their LFID.
 - Wiki is https://wiki.lfnetworking.org/display/LN/Compliance+and+Verification+Committee. Chris also encouraged people to look for workstreams sub-pages.
- · Governance guide review:
 - Chris noted that OPNFV Verified Program (OVP) is a "placeholder" term in the document for now. A
 question was raised if the Dovetail have responsibilities for all projects. Chris noted that Dovetail will
 incorporate tests from different projects from ONAP (e.g. VNF SDK), OPNFV (e.g. functest, yardstick), etc.
 A good discussion ensued on whether this will be too much for Dovetail, and Georg noted that he'd
 appreciate feedback/help from the ONAP team in addition to specifying requirements.
 - There was also a discussion that VNF requirements docs (almost specs) are being developed by Steven and others in the ONAP community. The plan is to also have reference VNFs (at least with open source VNFs).
 - There was a discussion on "compliance marks" for ONAP. There was a consensus that the CVC can start
 working with MAC or project marketing working groups on marks/branding after all the technical details are
 figured out (i.e. closer to the launch for VNF compliance). There will also be a continued discussion on
 branding in the next call. Suggestions were made to make explicit for the ONAP community requirements
 for synchronization/coordination with Dovetail.
 - There was a good discussion on "versioning" and if it would be possible to separate the test framework vs. test content. The consensus was that this is will be an on-going discussion and perhaps the best approach is for a group of volunteers to come back to the committee with a proposal.

Ray

Raymond Paik <rpaik@linuxfoundation.org> Reply-To: compliance@lists.lfnetworking.org To: compliance@lists.lfnetworking.org Mon, Jun 4, 2018 at 11:22 PM

[Quoted text hidden]

-,-,_-

Links:

You receive all messages sent to this group.

View/Reply Online (#20) | Reply To Group | Reply To Sender | Mute This Topic | New Topic

Your Subscription | Group Home | Contact Group Owner | Terms | Unsubscribe