



Raymond Paik &lt;rpaik@linuxfoundation.org&gt;

---

## Notes from the LFN CVC call (June 11, 2018)

1 message

---

**Raymond Paik** <rpaik@linuxfoundation.org>

Mon, Jun 11, 2018 at 5:04 PM

To: compliance@lists.lfnetworking.org

All:

Here are my notes from the call today. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Attendees:

- Committee members: Tapio Tallgren, Fu Qiao, Chris Donely, Georg Kunz, Morgan Richomme, Rabi Abdel, Trevor Cooper, Steven Wright
- From the LF: Phil Robb, Heather Kirksey, Ray Paik

Topics:

- Readout from the OPNFV Plugfest: Ray gave a quick report from the Plugfest last week that was co-located with ETSI Plugtest. Presentation materials are posted on the [schedule page](#) and notes are captured in the [learnings page](#). There will also be a joint report from ETSI & OPNFV targeted for the second half of July. Heather added that there was a good discussion on collaboration on MANO interface testing and suggested earlier pre-planning between the 2 communities when we co-locate the two events again in the future. Georg added that for the Dovetail team, it was beneficial getting access to commercial platforms (e.g. Nokia, RedHat, Windriver, etc.) during the week and finding bugs. There were also a number of people interested in OVP from the HW platform perspective.
- Chris noted that in the future he plans to invite non-committee members (e.g. Pierre Lynch and others) to "public" calls
- Governance Document:
  - Chris noted that he added the Creative Commons license information ("CC-BY-4.0") in the document footer
  - There was a discussion on adding a note/field to identify self-tests vs. testing done by 3rd party labs. Trevor also raised the question on what we mean by "preferred labs" as this perhaps needs to be binary (i.e. whether a lab can do tests or not). Chris mentioned that criteria for 3rd party lab is being developed by Lincoln. For a better terminology, there was a consensus on "qualified verification labs".
  - Similarity Policy: HW platforms identified as "similar platforms" can be covered under this section/policy.
  - Escalation: There was a good discussion on the need to get more specific regarding "reasonable timeframe" for escalations. There was a consensus on 30 days as to when the committee will get back to escalations in writing. Steven asked if the Governing Board (GB) need to be notified on escalations, and Chris replied that this could be determined on a case-by-case basis. Heather also added that a regular reporting (vs. only communicating escalations) to the GB would make sense. Steven also asked if we need to add a clause on arbitration. Chris replied that this is something probably more appropriate for program terms & conditions and could check with the LF legal team.
  - Chris will be posting the updated version of the governance document on the wiki. There was a discussion on when the governance document should be presented to the GB. There was a consensus that the governance document along with the 3rd party labs document should be presented to the GB at the next face-to-face GB meeting at ONS-Europe after getting feedback from TSCs and EUAG. For the EUAG, comments were made that they may be more interested in testing methodology, what the marks mean, etc. vs. governance details.

Thanks,

Ray