

OPNFV CI/CD

LFN Developer & Testing Forum 2020
Trevor Bramwell

Presentation Overview

- › **Baseline: Where are we at with CI/CD?**
 - › What resources do we have?
 - › What are we building?
- › **Establish and Verify Requirements: Where are we going?**
 - › What do we want to achieve?
 - › What's the value in migration?
 - › What do other platforms look like?
- › **Formulate Plan and Execute: How are we going to get there?**
 - › How long will it take?
 - › Who's involved?

Note: Slides will be converted to PDF and added to schedule after the talk.

Baseline

Baseline: Where are we at with CI/CD?

- › Builds
 - › Total number of jobs: 1887
 - › Projects: 14 (active) / 97
 - › Repos: 79 (active) / 103

- › Hardware
 - › 8000+ OpenStack/CloudNative Deployments
 - › Online CI PODs: ~12
 - › Total Labs: 11+
 - › Total Servers: 200+

OPNFV Infrastructure

Google
Compute
Engine +
Storage

Linux
Foundation
DC
Portland

Hosted Services

- Google Compute Engine (GCE) is home to CI and Artifact systems
- Linux Foundation DC (Portland) - 2 racks with 27 servers. Hosts code repositories and LF Lab.

Community Labs

<https://wiki.opnfv.org/display/pharos/Community+Labs>

- UNH-IOL (New Hampshire) - Lab-as-a-Service (LaaS): 2 racks with 52 servers. Used for adhoc testing and development by OPNFV projects (ONAP through the OPNFV Auto project)
- Intel (Hillsboro) - 72 servers. Used for both CI and development
- Huawei (Shanghai, Munich, Xi'an) - 69 servers
- ZTE (Shanghai) - 30 servers
- Linux Foundation (Portland) - 28 servers
- Ericsson (Sweden) - 18 servers
- ENEA (Sweden) - 18 ARM servers
- CMCC Pharos Lab (Beijing) - 6 servers.
- CENGN (Ottawa) - 6 servers
- Nokia (Finland) - 6 servers
- Okinawa Open Lab (Okinawa) - 6 servers

Pharos Labs

Intel <i>Hillsboro, OR</i> 12 PODs	UNH-IOL LaaS <i>New Hampshire</i> 8 PODs	Huawei <i>Shanghai, etc</i> 9 POD	Linux Foundation <i>Portland</i> 4 PODs
ENEA <i>Sweden</i> 3 PODs	ZTE <i>Shanghai</i> 4 PODs	Ericsson <i>Stockholm</i> 2 PODs	China Mobile <i>Beijing</i> 1 POD
OOL <i>Okinawa</i> 1 PODs	CENGN <i>Ottawa</i> 1 POD	Nokia <i>Finland</i> 1 POD	Others ...

Baseline: Where are we at with CI/CD?

- › Project Verification (Changes, Merges)
 - › Build, Test, Publish
- › Deployments (Merges, Daily)
 - › Build, Deploy, Verify
- › NFVI Verification (Daily)
 - › Deploy, Verify, Test, Validate

Baseline: Current Problems

- › Writing Jenkins Jobs are Hard
 - › Steep learning curve
 - › Introduces multiple levels of abstraction
 - › Documented, but no good summary
- › Centralized Job configuration in Releng repository
 - › Requires Releng committers to +2
 - › Doesn't provide for easy replication
 - › Example: Stand-up Jenkins, deploy JJB, add secrets, connect repos, etc..
 - › VS. Fork repo, connect CI
- › Jenkins requires constant care and feeding
 - › Updates for plugins, platform, system

Requirements

Establish and Verify Requirements: Where are we Going?

- › Goals of CI Evolution:
 - › Easily replicated CI/CD for NFVi
 - › Higher level CI/CD
- › OPNFV Requirements:
 - › Hardware
 - › Alignment with LFN
 - › Future proofing platform (OpenStack -> Kubernetes -> ???)

Establish and Verify Requirements: Where are we Going?

- › Follow through on TSC agreement to TAC [Recommendation](#)
- › [Link to LFN Infra-WG Comparisons](#)
- › Potential Migration Benefits:
 - › Repository coupled with CI jobs, easy to replicate
 - › Less time spent on infra tasks
 - › Easier usage / understanding of CI
- › Drawbacks:
 - › Disruption to current workflows
 - › Focus on CI and not development
 - › New tools require training and time to learn
 - › Restricted by the CI Platform features

Planning

Formulate Plan and Execute: How are we going to get there?

- › Plan:
 - › Write-out and Verify Platform Requirements
 - › Finalize POCs & Present Decision and Request to TSC
 - › Establish Timeline and Expectations
 - › Migrate Projects (not en-masse)
- › Execute:
 - › Possible Target: Jerma Release (June-July)
 - › Who: Community, Releng, Infra-WG

Open Questions from POC Work

- › Gitlab
 - › Github PRs from [forked repos](#) don't trigger Gitlab-CI Pipelines
 - › Options:
 - › Migrate to Gitlab (instead of, or after, Github)
 - › Run PR [bot](#)
 - › Gitlab CLA workflow not available till March
- › How to get hardware enrolled in new system?
- › What happens to Releng if jobs in repos?

Discussion + Q&A

Appendix

Types of Jobs

- › Verify / Merge / Daily
- › Installer / Scenario
- › Docker
- › Documentation
- › Generic (yamllint, tox, pylint)
- › Administration (cleanup, backups, auditing)
- › Community Automation (Releases, INFO.yaml, Artifact site)

Phase I (No-Op CI / Docs)

- › Availability
- › Edgecloud
- › FDS
- › IPv6
- › OPNFV TSC
- › OVNO
- › Pharos
- › SampleVNF
- › SDNVPN
- › Stor4NFV
- › VES

Phase 2 (Independents)

- › OPNFV Docs
- › Snaps
- › Calipso
- › KVMForNFV
- › LaaS
- › VSwitchPerf
- › Dovetail Webportal

Phase 3 (Installers & Verifiers)

- › Fuel
- › Airship
- › Functest
- › Yardstick
- › Dovetail
- › XCI

Phase 3 (Installer Dependents)

- › Barometer
- › Bottlenecks
- › Clover
- › Container4NFV
- › CPerf
- › Doctor
- › NFVBench
- › ONOSFW

Phase 4 (Full CI/CD)

- › Deploy + Verify + Test + Compliance
 - › Airship
 - › Apex
 - › Dovetail
 - › Fuel
 - › Functest
 - › XCI
 - › Yardstick

Phase 5 (Release & Automation)

- › Cleanup Scripts
- › Backups
- › Auditing & Scanning