

# Core Infrastructure Initiative badging program for ONAP

LFN DDF & Plugfest in Stockholm

Pawel Pawlak, Amy Zwarico, Tony Hansen, Pierre Close

12th June 2019

## Introduction source:

- Core Infrastructure Initiative was created by the Linux Foundation Networking in response to previous security issues in open-source projects (Heartbleed in openSSL).
- The CII has created a badging program to recognize projects that follow a set of identified best practices that could be adopted.
  - There are three levels: Passing, Silver and Gold.
- The security sub-committee is reviewing multiple answers in several areas: Basics, Change control, Reporting, Quality, Security and Analysis, starting from the Beijing release
  - Source: <a href="https://wiki.onap.org/display/DW/CII+Badging+Program">https://wiki.onap.org/display/DW/CII+Badging+Program</a>
- Tony has prepared a joint table that helps to identify areas where an effort should be made to improve scoring for projects:
  - Source: http://tlhansen.us/onap/cii.html

# CII badging program

- Defines a set of best practices for Free/Libre and Open Source Software. It defines 3 levels: passing, silver and gold.
  - Encourage projects to follow the best practices
  - Help new projects discover what those practices are
  - Help users know which projects are following the best practices.
  - Passing criteria covers general project aspects with higher level of security practices described in silver and gold levels.
- Individual projects apply for the badging.
  - Include "ONAP" in the "human-readable name of the project" so our tools find it
- Basic introduction can be found here: <a href="https://github.com/coreinfrastructure/best-practices-badge/blob/master/doc/criteria.md">https://github.com/coreinfrastructure/best-practices-badge/blob/master/doc/criteria.md</a>
- Silver and gold criteria can be found here: <a href="https://github.com/coreinfrastructure/best-practices-badge/blob/master/doc/other.md">https://github.com/coreinfrastructure/best-practices-badge/blob/master/doc/other.md</a>

## CII Badging Scope and Sample Requirement Areas

#### Basics

- Project description, OSS licensing, documentation, website, support TLS, change control, unique version numbering, release notes

#### Reporting

- Bug-reporting process, vulnerability report process

## Quality

- Maintain golden source for rebuilding, use common tools, automate test suite, perform new-functionality testing, address compiler warning flags

#### Security

- Developers security knowledgeable, use good cryptographic practices, protection against man-in-the-middle (MITM) attacks, fix publicly known vulnerabilities, don't leak valid private credential

### Analysis

- Perform static code analysis, perform dynamic code analysis, fix vulnerabilities

# Example criteria change between levels

- Passing (sample):
  - The default security mechanisms within the software produced by the project SHOULD NOT depend on cryptographic algorithms or modes with known serious weaknesses (e.g., the SHA-1 cryptographic hash algorithm or the CBC mode in SSH).
  - The project software SHOULD use hardening mechanisms so software defects are less likely to result in security vulnerabilities. If the project does not produce software, choose N/A
- Silver (=Passing+1): You must achieve the lower (passing) badge. In addition, some SHOULD will become MUST, and some SUGGESTED will become SHOULD or MUST.
  - Upgrade crypto\_weaknesses from SHOULD to MUST. The default security mechanisms within the software produced by the project MUST NOT depend on cryptographic algorithms or modes with known serious weaknesses (e.g., the SHA-1 cryptographic hash algorithm or the CBC mode in SSH).
- Gold (=Passing+2=Silver+1): Upgrade of SHOULD and SUGGESTED (or not)
  - Upgrade hardening from SHOULD to MUST. "The project software MUST use hardening mechanisms so software defects are less likely to result in security vulnerabilities. If the project does not produce software, choose N/A."
  - Upgrade crypto\_used\_network from SHOULD (NOT) to MUST (NOT). "The project MUST NOT use unencrypted network communication protocols (such as HTTP and telnet) if there is an encrypted equivalent (e.g., HTTPS/TLS and SSH), unless the user specifically requests or configures it. (N/A allowed).
  - Upgrade crypto\_tls12 from SHOULD to MUST. The project MUST, if it supports TLS, support at least TLS version 1.2. Note that the predecessor of TLS was called SSL. (N/A allowed).

## CII badging considerations

- Please remember to update your CII Badging answers across ONAP release lifecycle – discussion point M0 milestone?.
- It is a good idea to have security delegate/champion in the projects.
- As we are working in a dynamic environment and committers are leaving projects, we have a process to add multiple editors for projects in CII Badging.
  - Make certain you have more than one editor on the CII page
    - https://wiki.onap.org/display/DW/CII+Badging+Program#CIIBadgingProgram-Howtoaddmultipleeditorstoaprojectreport
  - e.g., your PTL and security SME should both have access to the page
  - Also please add Jim Baker (LFID: mtnskiier, <u>bestpractices.coreinfrastructure.org</u> **id:3607**) from the Linux Foundation only 4 ONAP projects still pending...
- There are some general ONAP wide items (like Code of Conduct) that should be soon approved by ONAP TSC (<a href="https://jira.onap.org/browse/TSC-130">https://jira.onap.org/browse/TSC-130</a>) — so ONAP projects would earn some extra points for passing.
- If some questions are not easy to understand, please use expand field and don't hesitate to ask questions to SECCOM – we are here to help projects!
- JS to be covered in the scans.



# El Alto

## Regular PTL Reviews of CII Issues

- About ½ of the ONAP projects have started answering the Silver or Gold questions
- At PTL meetings
  - Discussion of an issue that we want a PTL to look at and answer
    - Something that the PTL should be able to answer in about 5 minutes
    - Explicit instructions would be provided on how to answer that question
  - After a week, kudos to the PTLs who answered
  - JIRA tickets then written against projects that had NOT been answered
  - One week later, escalate to higher importance
- PTLs should write project JIRA tickets against issues where they cannot answer with MET, assigned to either El Alto or Frankfurt



# ONAP CII Badging Status and Clarifications

# ONAP CII Badging Status ½ as of 27th of April'19

Project Prefix	Full Name	Passing	Requirements Not Met	Requirements Unanswered	Silver	Gold
clamp	CLAMP (Closed Loop Automation  Management Platform)	98	Vulnerabilities Fixed 60 days		93	48
<u>appc</u>	ONAP APPC (Application Controller)	98		Vulnerabilities Fixed 60 days	93	26
<u>ccsdk</u>	ONAP CCSDK (Common Controller SDK)	98	Vulnerabilities Fixed 60 days		13	9
<u>sdnc</u>	ONAP SDNC (SDN Controller)	98	Vulnerabilities Fixed 60 days		13	9
<u>vid</u>	ONAP Virtual Infrastructure Deployment (VID)	98	Vulnerabilities Fixed 60 days		7	4
logging-analytics	logging-analytics	98	Release Notes Vulns		5	4
portal	Portal Platform	97	Static Analysis Fixed Vulnerabilities Fixed 60 days		87	13
<u>vvp</u>	<u>wp</u>	97		Dynamic Analysis Dynamic Analysis Enable Assertions	64	4
<u>usecase-ui</u>	<u>usecase-ui</u>	97	Static Analysis Fixed Vulnerabilities Fixed 60 days		11	13
<u>vfc</u>	VF-C (Virtual Function Controller Project)	97	Static Analysis Fixed Vulnerabilities Fixed 60 days		11	13
	ONAP AAI UI (sparky-fe)	97	Static Analysis Fixed	Vulnerabilities Fixed 60 days	84	22
	ONAP ESR (External System Register)	97	Static Analysis Fixed Vulnerabilities Fixed 60 days		11	4
	ONAP AAI UI	98	Static Analysis Fixed		87	17
	ONAP Champ	95	Dynamic Analysis Fixed Static Analysis Static Analysis Fixed		78	17
	ONAP Gizmo	97	Static Analysis Fixed		78	22
	ONAP Spike	98	Static Analysis Fixed		78	17
<u>externalapi</u>	ONAP External API	95	Release Notes Vulns Test Tests are Added		9	9
<u>sdc</u>	ONAP SDC (Service Design and Creation)	92	Crypto Keylength Delivery Unsigned Release Notes Vulns Vulnerabilities Fixed 60 Days	Warnings Strict	35	0

# ONAP CII Badging Status 2/2

- As we do value honesty and transparency, so If we know that some project should refine its answers, it should be done even if it could negatively impact passing criteria – discussion point: when exactly this could be done?.
- Losing CII badging level (and some scoring points) is a natural and an "acceptable" way forward, as long as there is a limit in the level score loss to prevent projects losing focus on the CII level which is, among other things, one of the ways to ensure projects follow security best practices.
- Score loss should be well documented.
- Getting higher, better score is a great proof of a project's excellence (learning organization) and we do prefer honesty over high scoring...

# ONAP CII Badging Clarifications 1/4

- [vulnerabilities\_fixed\_60\_days] False positives only answer is yes
  - There MUST be no unpatched vulnerabilities of medium or high severity that have been publicly known for more than 60 days.
  - Answers
    - **Met**: if (1) project can commit to fixing new vulnerabilities within 60 days or (2) no vulnerabilities or (3) if all vulnerabilities are false positives
    - Unmet: otherwise
- [release\_notes]
  - The project MUST provide, in each release, release notes that are a human-readable summary of major changes in that release to help users determine if they should upgrade and what the upgrade impact will be. The release notes MUST NOT be the raw output of a version control log (e.g., the "git log" command results are not release notes). Projects whose results are not intended for reuse in multiple locations (such as the software for a single website or service) AND employ continuous delivery MAY select "N/A". (N/A allowed.) (Justification required for "N/A".) (URL required for "met".)
  - Answer: **Met** for all projects

## ONAP CII Badging Clarifications 2/4

## [static\_analysis]

- At least one static code analysis tool (beyond compiler warnings and "safe" language modes) MUST be applied to any proposed major production release of the software before its release, if there is at least one FLOSS tool that implements this criterion in the selected language. (N/A allowed.) (Justification required for "N/A".)
- Answers
  - Met: Java, Python
  - N/A: javascript, Go, and other languages
- [static\_analysis\_fixed]
  - All medium and high severity exploitable vulnerabilities discovered with static code analysis MUST be fixed in a timely way after they are confirmed. (N/A allowed.)
  - Answer:
    - Met: Java, Python
    - N/A: all other languages

## ONAP CII Badging Clarifications 3/4

## • [test]

- The project MUST use at least one automated test suite that is publicly released as FLOSS (this test suite may be maintained as a separate FLOSS project).
- Answers
  - Met: Java
  - N/A: all other languages
- [dynamic\_analysis]
  - It is SUGGESTED that at least one dynamic analysis tool be applied to any proposed major production release of the software before its release.
  - Answer: **N/A** for all projects
- [dynamic\_analysis\_fixed] N/A
  - All medium and high severity exploitable vulnerabilities discovered with dynamic code analysis MUST be fixed in a timely way after they are confirmed. (N/A allowed.)
  - Answer: **N/A** for all projects

## ONAP CII Badging Clarifications 4/4

- [vulnerability\_report\_private]
  - If private vulnerability reports are supported, the project MUST include how to send the information in a way that is kept private (URL required).
  - We have a new process and private jira in place (OJSIs) -
  - Answer: MET All reported vulnerabilities are kept private in a private JIRA (OJSI)



# Working Session

## How To

How to display Silver and Gold Questions

Where to find stock answers for questions

Example session filling in some of those stock answers