




# ONAP Cadence Release Proposal (v3)

Catherine Lefevre, ONAP TSC Chair


# Traditional ONAP Timeline

From Amsterdam to Dublin – ~28 weeks




- Use Cases
- Functional Requirements
- Non-Functional Requirements (S3P, CICD, Optimization, Bug Fixes, etc.)
- *POCs*

# ONAP Timeline Evolution – From Dublin to El Alto




# ONAP Timeline Evolution – Release G



@Frankfurt RC0? RC1?

Create Frankfurt Branch and Kick-Off G Candidate Release



- Limited Code Change (Max. 4 weeks)
- No or limited cross-applications dependency
- Non-Functional Requirements (Security/Vulnerability issues, Code Optimization, Sev2 Defect Backlog Reduction, etc.)
- PTL Enhancements
- Documentation Enhancements

# ONAP Timeline Evolution Pre-Requisites & Risks

## Pre-requisites:

- Identify Small Content (<4 Weeks Development)
- 3 Development/Testing Environments (including 1 for Maintenance Release)
- Review tag strategy i.e. No Tagging until verify jobs are successful
- Increase container storage
- Same rules as Release i.e. Sonar Test Coverage, License/Vulnerability, Automation Testing etc.

## Risks:

- Maintenance vs Release Candidates vs Main Release
- Code conflict between Main and Release Candidates
- Dev/Testing capacity
- Additional merging activities ?



# ONAP

OPEN NETWORK AUTOMATION PLATFORM