


Q1

Customize

Save As ▼

Have you deployed, or do you plan on deploying, a NFV Orchestrator (NFVO) which is based on the ETSI NFV reference architecture?

Answered: 16 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
▼ Currently Deployed	25.00% 4
▼ Planned within the next 12 months	31.25% 5
▼ Planned sometime in the future	6.25% 1
▼ No plans for deployment	18.75% 3
▼ It depends (please specify)	Responses 18.75% 3
TOTAL	16


Q2

Customize

Save As

Have you deployed, or do you plan to deploy a VNF Manager (VNFM) only without a NFV Orchestrator?

Answered: 16 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
▼ Currently Deployed	18.75% 3
▼ Planned within the next 12 months	0.00% 0
▼ Planned sometime in the future	6.25% 1
▼ No plans for deployment	56.25% 9
▼ It depends (please specify) Responses	18.75% 3
TOTAL	16


Q3

Customize

Save As

If you have deployed, or plan on deploying, a NFV Orchestrator (NFVO) and/or VNF Manager (VNFM), what type of system did you select?

Answered: 16 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Commercial product purchased from Vendor	50.00% 8
Worked with System Integrator or Vendor to create a customized proprietary system	0.00% 0
Worked with System Integrator or Vendor to create a system based on Open Source components	12.50% 2
Built a system in-house from Open Source components	6.25% 1
No plans for deployment	12.50% 2
Used a mix of components from different sources (please specify)	Responses 18.75% 3
TOTAL	16

Q4

Customize Save As

If you plan to have a NFVO, or VNFM, do you expect that it will interoperate with ONAP or merge into ONAP?

Answered: 15 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	66.67% 10
No	33.33% 5
TOTAL	15

Comments (7)

Showing 7 responses

- The industry (everyone) uses REST with JSON for the most part, NFVO and ONAP are just implementations.
2/15/2019 10:46 AM [View respondent's answers](#) [Add tags](#)
- It will depend on how ONAP evolve, ONAP is not an objective by itself. Objective is an efficient orchestrator E2E.
2/12/2019 12:19 PM [View respondent's answers](#) [Add tags](#)
- ETSI NFV, OSM and ONAP
2/12/2019 8:50 AM [View respondent's answers](#) [Add tags](#)
- Mainly with VNFM using SO through SOL003
2/11/2019 9:14 AM [View respondent's answers](#) [Add tags](#)

Combination of ONAP components and ONAP compatible interfaces/models

2/11/2019 1:23 AM

[View respondent's answers](#)

[Add tags](#) ▼

Cross domain orchestration is desired.

2/8/2019 6:16 PM

[View respondent's answers](#)

[Add tags](#) ▼

We would like to make our systems compatible with ONAP or possibly use ONAP directly. Jury is still out.

2/8/2019 2:19 PM

[View respondent's answers](#)

[Add tags](#) ▼


Q5

Customize

Save As ▼

Do you expect your NFVO to connect to your OSS/BSS directly?

Answered: 16 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
▼ Yes	81.25% 13
▼ No	18.75% 3
TOTAL	16

Comments (5)

There are reporting / record requirements to do so, they are not listed in any ESTI or LFN documents but they are corporate record keeping requirements for service automation.

2/15/2019 10:46 AM

[View respondent's answers](#) [Add tags ▼](#)

Again will entirely depend on the industry evolution. In fact in the future some functions from BSS and mainly OSS can completely within the NFVO module.

2/12/2019 12:19 PM

[View respondent's answers](#) [Add tags ▼](#)

If ONAP as NFVO, then through external API

2/11/2019 9:14 AM

[View respondent's answers](#) [Add tags ▼](#)

Concrete plan to utilize ONAP is not yet decided.

2/8/2019 6:16 PM

[View respondent's answers](#) [Add tags ▼](#)

Net APIs that support YAML, Netconf and others.

2/8/2019 2:19 PM

[View respondent's answers](#) [Add tags ▼](#)


Q6

Customize

Save As ▼

Does your use of ONAP require that SDC support Network Service Descriptor as it is defined by ETSI NFV?

Answered: 15 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
▼ Yes	46.67% 7
▼ No	53.33% 8
TOTAL	15


Q7

Customize

Save As ▼

Are there other areas of ETSI NFV-ISG compliance that are a question, or a concern to you?

Answered: 15 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
▼ Yes	60.00% 9
▼ No	40.00% 6
TOTAL	15

Comments (9)

Ensure compliance with ETSI NFV SOL specifications
2/14/2019 7:52 AM [View respondent's answers](#) [Add tags ▼](#)

Sol3 , Sol5
2/12/2019 9:39 PM [View respondent's answers](#) [Add tags ▼](#)

ETSI works based on consensus and standarization. ONAP not only is not mature enough yet, even worst its concern about consensus and standarization is much lower than what ETSI propose.
2/12/2019 12:19 PM [View respondent's answers](#) [Add tags ▼](#)

Kubernetes / Helm interoperability and compliance testing.
2/12/2019 8:50 AM [View respondent's answers](#) [Add tags ▼](#)

ETSI should be aligned with ONAP VNF and PNF package

2/11/2019 9:14 AM

[View respondent's answers](#)

[Add tags](#) ▼

Products provided by vendors are not fully complied with ETSI NFV spec.

2/8/2019 6:16 PM

[View respondent's answers](#)

[Add tags](#) ▼

YANG descriptors

2/8/2019 3:51 PM

[View respondent's answers](#)

[Add tags](#) ▼

NFVO to NFVO communication

2/8/2019 3:13 PM

[View respondent's answers](#)

[Add tags](#) ▼

Need to test to see compatibility and level of effort required to work with lots of complex legacy systems.

2/8/2019 2:19 PM

[View respondent's answers](#)

[Add tags](#) ▼
