

Technical Advisory Council Meeting

April 11, 2018

Antitrust Compliance Notice

- Meetings of the LF Networking Fund involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of the Project to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of and not participate in any activities that are prohibited under applicable U.S. state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws. Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at LF Networking Fund meetings and in connection with LF Networking Fund activities are described in the The Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel or Andrew Updegrove, of the firm of Gesmer Updegrove LLP, which provides legal counsel to The Linux Foundation.
- Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy: <https://www.linuxfoundation.org/antitrust-policy>.

Agenda

- › LFN project proposal process
- › LFN project lifecycle
- › TAC leadership roles
- › AoB

TAC Member Directory

Platinum Representatives

*new since the last meeting

Company	Name	Email	Company	Name	Email
AMDOCS	Eyal Felstaine	Eyal.Felstaine@amdocs.com	Nokia	Tapio Tallgren	tapio.tallgren@nokia.com
AT&T	Mazin Gilbert	mg1528@att.com	Orange	Jamil Chawki	jamil.chawki@orange.com
ARM	Tina Tsou	Tina.Tsou@arm.com	Qualcomm	Jasmin Ajanovic	jasmin@qti.qualcomm.com
Bell Canada	David Sauvageau	david.sauvageau@bell.ca	Red Hat	Andre Fredette	afredette@redhat.com
China Mobile	Lingli Deng	denglingli@chinamobile.com	Reliance Jio	Aayush Bhatnagar	aayush.bhatnagar@ril.com
China Telecom	Xie Xiaojun	xiexj.gd@chinatelecom.cn	Samsung	Sohyong Chong	hyong.chong@samsung.com
Cisco	Frank Brockners	fbrockne@cisco.com	SUSE	Rossella Sblendido	RSblendido@suse.com
Ericsson	Anders Rosengren	anders.rosengren@ericsson.com	Tech Mahindra	Dhananjay Pavgi	dp00476350@techmahindra.com
Huawei	Wenjing Chu	Wenjing.Chu@huawei.com	Turk Telekom	Oğuzhan Ceylan*	oguzhan.ceylan@turktelekom.com.tr
IBM	Jason Hunt	djhunt@us.ibm.com	Verizon	Anil Guntupalli	anil.guntupalli@verizon.com
Intel	Rajesh Gadiyar	rajesh.gadiyar@intel.com	VMWare	Danny Lin	lind@vmware.com
Juniper Networks	Randy Bias	rbias@juniper.net	Vodafone	Davide Cherubini*	davide.cherubini@vodafone.com
Lenovo	Igor Marty	imarty@lenovo.com	ZTE	Chen Xing	dick.chen@ztetx.com
NEC/NetCracker	Toshiyuki Tamura	tamurato@aj.jp.nec.com			

Project Representatives

Project	Name	Email	Project	Name	Email
fd.io	Ed Warnicke	eaw@cisco.com	ONAP	Mazin Gilbert	mg1528@att.com
OpenDaylight	Daniel Farrell	dfarrell@redhat.com	OPNFV	Tim Irmich	tim.irmich@ericsson.com

Documents Needed For LFN Project Induction Activities

- › 1) A process and rubric for inducting a project into LFN. The LFN Charter [0] (Section 3.f.i) identifies these as the responsibility of the LFN Governing Board; both the creation of the process and the definition of the rubric.
- › 2) The process and rubric to determine if a project in LFN is a TAC-Project; i.e. What criteria determines if a project is allowed to have a representative on the TAC or not. The LFN Charter [0] (Section 7.e) identifies the creation of this process and rubric is the responsibility of the TAC and the Governing Board together.
- › 3) Project Lifecycle, and criteria for each stage. The LFN Charter [0] (Section 7.e) identifies that this will be created by the TAC and Governing Board together.

[0] <https://www.linuxfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/LF-Networking-Fund-Charter-January-2018.pdf>

What is being asked of the TAC

- ~~1) A process and rubric for inducting a project into LFN. The LFN Charter [0] (Section 3.f.i) identifies these as the responsibility of the LFN Governing Board; both the creation of the process and the definition of the rubric.~~
- 2) The process and rubric to determine if a project in LFN is a TAC-Project; i.e. What criteria determines if a project is allowed to have a representative on the TAC or not. The LFN Charter [0] (Section 7.e) identifies the creation of this process and rubric is the responsibility of the TAC and the Governing Board together.
- 3) Project Lifecycle, and criteria for each stage. The LFN Charter [0] (Section 7.e) identifies that this will be created by the TAC and Governing Board together.

[0] <https://www.linuxfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/LF-Networking-Fund-Charter-January-2018.pdf>

Draft Documents Provided

› LFN New Project Proposal Process v1.1

- › Identifies process LFN Governing Board uses to collect and evaluate relevant information regarding the induction request of a Candidate-Project.

› LFN Project Lifecycle Draft v1.1

- › Identifies Project Lifecycle Stages and potential criteria to be used in determining the stage to which each LFN project belongs.
- › Also identifies TAC projects as being projects in either the “Growth” or “Graduated” stages.

Where Do We Want To Go From Here?

- › Start with LFN Project Lifecycle Draft and iterate on it incorporating Daniel Farrell's comments and others.
- › Start with a blank sheet of paper, and create a Lifecycle governance document including what constitutes a TAC project from scratch.
- › My request is that however we do this, that we try to minimize the time we make the TF project wait for a go/no-go decision, given that the actual induction decision is the sole responsibility of the LFN Governing Board and that I expect the Governing Board would approve the current LFN New Project Proposal document as soon as the TAC has a completed LFN Project Lifecycle document.

TAC Leadership roles

- › One of the main concerns based on the latest TAC leadership [mailing list discussions](#):
 - › Individual technical communities having a voice at the Governing Board (GB)
 - › A single GB representative from the TAC may not be familiar with all LFN projects and thus be an effective voice for all communities
- › [The LFN Charter](#) (section 7 (iii)) notes electing a single TAC representative to the GB
- › If the desire is to have more than one TAC representative to the GB, we'll need to have a discussion with the GB.

AoB

- › Federating LFN Jira's: <https://jira.opendaylight.org/browse/TSC-87>
- › Open Finances: <https://jira.opendaylight.org/browse/TSC-88>

BACKUP

New LFN Project Proposal Process

1. Candidate project preparation: incl. conformance to minimum open source project behaviors
2. Candidate project proposal: a request to the LFN Governing Board (GB) for inclusion in LFN
3. Due diligence contacts: e.g. business development, marketing, technical community, etc.
4. Data collection for due diligence: separate check lists for business (e.g. estimated cost/revenue), marketing needs (e.g. events, AR/PR, website, staff, etc.), technical (community, technology overview), infrastructure requirements, etc.
5. GB evaluation/vote
6. Upon approval, induction into the LFN

(more details can be found in attachments to this [email](#))

LFN Project Lifecycle

- › Modeled after [CNCF](#) (plus information from ASF & Eclipse)
- › Criteria for “graduation”
 - › Sandbox: strategic relevance, licensing, asset transfer, budget/resource estimates, etc. (with re-evaluation every 12 months)
 - › Growth: production use, healthy number of committers, healthy flow of commits/merged contributions, etc.
 - › Graduation: Diversity of committers, CII badging, defined project governance/commmitter process, a public list of project adopters, etc.

(more details can be found in attachments to this [email](#))